

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

FA5726.1





AN

E S S A Y

ON

PRINTS.

AN

ESSAY

ON

PRINTS.

By WILLIAM GILPIN, M.A.

PREBENDARY OF SALISBURY;
AND VICAR OF BOLDRE IN NEW-FOREST,
NEAR LYMINGTON.

THE FIFTH EDITION.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY A. STRAHAN, PRINTERS-STREET; FOR T. CADELL, JUN. AND W. DAVIES, IN THE STRAND. 1802. FA572611

BRUND DEC 9 1910

ncir

Digitized by Google

TO THE HONORABLE

HORACE WALPOLE,

IN DEFERENCE TO HIS TASTE

IN THE POLITE ARTS;

AND THE

VALUABLE RESEARCHES HE HAS MADE

TO IMPROVE THEM;

THE FOLLOWING WORK
IS INSCRIBED

BY HIS MOST OBEDIENT

AND VERY HUMBLE SERVANT,

WILLIAM GILPIN.

A 3

PREFACE.

HE chief intention of the following work, was to put the elegant amusement of collecting prints on a more rational footing; by giving the unexperienced collector a few principles, and cautions to assist him.

With this view the author thought it necessary to apply the principles of painting to prints: and as his observations are not always new, he hath at least made them concise.

A 4.

His

His account of artists might easily have been enlarged, by having
recourse to books: particularly he
could have availed himself much of
the ingenious researches of Mr. Walpole. He did not however choose
to swell his volume with what others
had said; but wished rather to rest
on such observations, as he had
himself made. He had many opportunities of seeing some of the
best collections of prints in England; and occasionally availed himfelf of them by minuting down remarks.

Of the works of living artists the author hath purposely said little.

ed by Google

He thought himself not at liberty to find fault; and when he mentions a modern print, he means not, by praising one, to imply inferiority in another; but merely to illustrate his subject, when he had occasion, with such prints, as occurred to his memory.

The author wishes to add, that when he speaks positively in any part of the following work, he means not to speak arbitrarily: but only to avoid the tedious repetition of qualifying phrases.

N. B. When the figures on the right hand are fpoken of, those are meant, which are opposite to the spectator's right hand: and so of the left.

EXPLANATION

OF.

TERMS.

- Composition, in its large fense means, a picture in general: in its limited one, the art of grouping figures, and combining the parts of a picture. In this latter sense it is synonymous with disposition.
- Design, in its strict sense, applied chiefly to drawing: in its more inlarged one, defined page 2. In its most inlarged one, sometimes taken for a picture in general.
- A whole: The idea of one object, which a picture should give in its comprehensive view.
- Expression: its strict meaning defined page 16: but it often means the force, by which objects of any kind are represented.

8*

Fffect



(xii)

- Effect arises chiefly from the management of light; but the word is sometimes applied to the general view of a picture.
 - Spirit, in its strict sense, defined page 21: but it is sometimes taken in a more inlarged one, and means the general effect of a masterly performance.
 - Manner, fynonymous with execution.
- Picturefque: a term expressive of that peculiar kind of beauty, which is agreeable in a picture.
 - Picturesque grace: an agreeable form which may be given even to a clownish figure.
 - Repose, or quietness applied to a picture, when the whole is harmonious; when nothing glares either in the light, shade, or colouring.
 - To keep down, take down, or bring down, fignify throwing a degree of shade upon a glaring light.
 - A middle tint, is a medium between a strong light, and strong shade: the phrase is not at all expressive of colour.

Catching

Catching lights are strong lights, which strike on some particular parts of an object, the rest of which is in shadow.

Studies are the sketched ideas of a painter, not wrought into a whole.

Freedom is the refult of quick execution.

Extremities are the hands and feet.

Air, expresses chiefly the graceful action of the head; but often means a graceful attitude.

Contrast, is the opposition of one part to another.

Needle is the instrument used in etching.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

THE principles of painting confidered, as far as they relate to prints - Page 1

CHAP. II.

Observations on the different kinds of prints 2

CHAP. III.

Characters of the most noted masters - 43

CHAP. IV.

Remarks on particular prints - 127

CHAP. V.

Cautions in collecting prints - - 165

CHAP. I.

The principles of Painting considered, so far as they relate to Prints.

PAINTING, or picture, is distinguished from a print only by colouring, and the manner of execution. In other respects, the foundation of beauty is the same in both; and we consider a print, as we do a picture, in a double light, with regard to the whole, and with regard to its parts. It may have an agreeable effect as a whole, and yet be very culpable in its parts. It may be likewise the reverse. A man may make a good appearance on the whole; though his limbs, examined separately, may be wanting in exact proportion. His limbs on the other hand, may be exactly formed, and yet his person, on the whole, may be awkward, and displealing.

To make a print agreeable as a whole, a just observance of those rules is necessary,

B which

which relate to design, disposition, keeping, and the distribution of light: to make it agreeable in its parts—of those which relate to drawing, expression, grace, and perspective.

We consider the whole before its parts, as it naturally precedes in practice. The painter first forms his general ideas; and disposes them, yet crude, in such a manner, as to receive the most beautiful form, and the most beautiful effect of light. His last work is to finish the several parts: as the statuary shapes his block, before he attempts to give delicacy to the limbs.

By design, (a term which painters sometimes use in a more limited sense) we mean the general conduct of the piece, as a representation of such a particular story. It answers, in the historical relation of a fact, to a judicious choice of circumstances; and includes a proper time, proper characters, the most affecting manner of introducing those characters, and proper appendages.

With regard to a proper time, the painter is affifted by good old dramatic rules; which inform him, that one point of time only should be taken—the most affecting in the action; and that no other part of the story should interfere

interfere with it. Thus in the death of Ananias, if the instant of his falling down be chosen, no anachronism should be introduced; every part of the piece should correspond; each character should be under the strongest impression of assonishment, and horror: those passions being yet unallayed by any cooler passions succeeding.

With regard to characters, the painter must suit them to his piece, by attending to historical truth, if his subject be history; or to heathen mythology, if it be fabulous.

He must also introduce them properly. They should be ordered in so advantageous a manner, that the principal figures, those which are most concerned in the action, should catch the eye first, and engage it most. This is very essential in a well-told story. In the sirst place, they should be the least embarrassed of the group. This alone gives them distinction. But they may be farther distinguished, sometimes by a broad light; sometimes by a strong shadow, in the midst of a light; sometimes by a remarkable action, or expression; and sometimes by a combination of two or three of these modes of distinction.

B₂ The

The last thing included in design is the use of proper appendages. By appendages are meant animals, landscape, buildings, and in general, whatever is introduced into the piece by way of ornament. Every thing of this kind should correspond with the subject, and rank in a proper subordination to it. Bassan would sometimes paint a scripture-story: and his method was, to croud his foreground with cattle; while you seek for his story, and at length with difficulty find it in some remote corner of his picture. Indeed neither the landscape, nor the story is principal; but his cattle. A story therefore is an absurd appendage.

When all these rules are observed, when a proper point of time is chosen; when characters corresponding with the subject are introduced, and these ordered so judiciously as to point out the story in the strongest manner; and lastly when all the appendages, and under-parts of the piece are suitable, and subservient to the subject; then the story is well told, and of course the design is perfect.

The

The fecond thing to be confidered with regard to a whole, is disposition. By this word is meant the art of grouping figures, and of combining the feveral parts of a picture. Design considers the several parts as producing a whole; -but a whole, arising from the unity of the subject, not the effect of the object. For the figures in a piece may be so ordered, as to tell a story in an affecting manner, which is as far as design goes; and yet may want that agreeable combination, which is necessary to please the eye. To produce such a combination is the business of disposition. In the cartoon of St. PAUL preaching at Athens, the design is perfect; and the characters in particular, are so ordered, as to tell the story in a very affecting manner: yet the several parts of the picture are far from being agreeably combined. If RUBENS had had the difposition of the materials of this picture, its effect as a whole had been very different.

Having thus distinguished between design and disposition, I shall explain the latter a little farther.

B 3

It

It is an obvious principle, that one object at a time is enough to engage either the fenses, or the intellect. Hence the necessity of unity, or a whole, in painting. The eye, on a complex view, must be able to comprehend the picture as one object, or it cannot be satisfied. It may be pleased indeed by seeding on the parts separately: but a picture, which can please no otherwise, is as poor a production as a machine, whose springs and wheels are sinished with nicety, but are unable to act in concert, and effect the intended movement.

Now disposition, or the art of grouping and combining the figures, and several parts of a picture, contributes greatly to make the picture appear as one object. When the parts are scattered, they have no dependence on each other; they are still only parts: but when, by an agreeable grouping, they are massed together, they become a whole.

In disposing figures, great artifice is necessary to make each group open itself in such a manner, as to set off advantageously the several figures, figures, of which it is composed. The assistant at least of each figure should appear.

No group can be agreeable without contrast. By contrast is meant the opposition of one part to another. A fameness in attitude, action, or expression, among figures in the same group, will always disgust the eye. In the cartoon of St. PAUL preaching at Athens, the contrast among the figures is pleasing; and the want of it, in the death of ANANIAS, makes the group of the apostles rather disagreeable.

Nor indeed is contrast required only among the sigures of the same group, but also among the groups themselves, and among all the parts, of which the piece is composed. In the beautiful gate of the temple, the figures of the principal group are well contrasted; but the adjoining group is disposed almost in the same manner; which, together with the formal pillars, introduce a disagreeable regularity into the picture.

The judicious painter, however, whether he group, combine, or contrast, will always avoid the appearance of artifice. The several B 4 parts

parts of his picture will be so suited to each other, that his art will seem the result of chance. In the sacrifice at Lystra, the head of the ox is bowed down, with a design, no doubt, to group the sigures around it more harmoniously: but their action is so well suited to the posture of the ox, and the whole is managed with so much judgment, that, although the sigures are disposed with the utmost art, they appear with all the ease of nature. The remaining part of the group is an instance of the reverse; in which a number of heads appear manifestly stuck in to fill up vacuities.

But farther, as a whole, or unity, is an effential of beauty, that disposition is certainly the most perfect, which admits but of one group. All subjects, however, will not allow this close observance of unity. When this is the case, the several groups must again be combined; chiefly by a proper distribution of light, so as to constitute a whole.

But as the whole will foon be loft, if the constituent parts become numerous, it follows, that many groups must not be admitted.

Judicious

Judicious painters have thought three the utmost number, that can be allowed. Some subjects indeed, as battles and triumphs, necessarily require a great number of sigures, and of course various combinations of groups. In the management of such subjects, the greatest art is necessary to preserve a whole. Consusion in the sigures must be expressed without confusion in the picture. A writer should treat his subject clearly, though he write upon obscurity.

With regard to disposition, I shall only add, that the shape or form of the group should alfo be confidered. The triangular form MICHAEL ANGELO thought the most beau-And indeed there is a lightness in it, which no other form can receive. The group of the apostles, in the cartoon of giving the keys, and the same group, in the death of ANANIAS, are both heavy; and this heaviness arises from nothing more than from the form of a parallelogram, within the lines of which these groups are contained. The triangular form too is capable of the most variety: for the vertical angle of a group so disposed may either be acute, or obtuse, in any degree. Or a segment only of a tria triangle may be taken, which still increases the variety.

I know well, that many of these remarks (on the cartoons especially) oppose the opinions of very great masters. The fublimity of the Roman school, they say, totally disregarded the mechanical construction of a group. And without doubt, simplicity, and a fameness of figure, are ingredients of the But perhaps this theory, like other theories, may be carried too far. conceive, that the group of the apostles in the cartoon of ANANIAS, for inflance, would be lefe sublime in the form of a triangle, than in that of a parallelogram. The triangle is certainly the more simple figure, as it consists of three fides only, while the parallelogram occupies four. Besides, Raphael himself by no means adopted the square form as a ruling principle.—But I speak with distidence on this subject; nor indeed is this a place to discuss it.

A third thing to be considered in a picture, with regard to a whole, is keeping. This word implies the different degrees of strength and faintness,

faintness, which objects receive from nearness, and distance. A nice observance of the gradual fading of light and shade contributes greatly towards the production of a whole. Without it, the distant parts, instead of being connected with the objects at hand, appear like foreign objects, without meaning. Diminished in fixe only, they unite Lilliput and Brobdignag in one scene. Keeping is generally found in great perfection in Della Bella's prints: and the want of it, as conspicuously in Tempesta's.

Nearly allied to keeping is the doctrine of barmony, which equally contributes towards the production of a whole. In painting, it has great force. A judicious arrangement of according tints will strike even the unpractifed eye. The effect of every picture, in a great measure, depends on one principal and master-tint; which, like the key-tone in music, prevails over the whole piece. Of this ruling tint, whatever it is, every object in the picture should in a degree participate. This theory is founded on principles of truth; and produces a fine effect from the barmony,

in

in which it unites every object. Harmony is opposed to glaring and gaudy colouring. Yet the skilful painter fears not, when his fubject allows it, to employ the greatest variety of rich tints; and though he may depreciate their value in shadow, he will not scruple in his lights, to give each its utmost glow. His art lies deeper. He takes the glare from one vivid tint by introducing another; and from a nice assemblage of the brightest colours. each of which alone would stare, he creates a glow in the highest degree harmonious. these great effects are only to be produced by the magic of colours. The harmony of a print is a more simple production: and yet unless a print possess the same tone of shadow, if I may so express myself, there will always appear great harshness in it. We often meet with hard touches in a print; which, standing alone, are unharmonious: but if every contiguous part should be touched-up to that tone, the effect would be harmony. - Keeping then proportions a proper degree of strength to the near and distant parts, in respect to each other. Harmony goes a step farther, and keeps each part quiet, with respect to the I shall only add, that in sketches, whole. and

and rough etchings, no barmony is expected: it is enough, if keeping be observed. Harmony is looked for only in finished prints. If you would see the want of it in the strongest light, examine a worn-print, harshly touched by some bungler.

The last thing, which contributes to produce a whole, is a proper distribution of light. This, in a print especially, is most essential. Harmony in colouring may, in some measure, supply its place in painting: but a print has no succedaneum. Were the design, disposition, and keeping ever so perfect, beautiful, and just; without this essential, instead of a whole, we should have only a piece of patch-work. Nay, such is the power of light, that by an artisticial management of it we may even harmonize a bad disposition.

The general rule which regards the diftribution of light, is, that it should be spread in large masses. This gives the idea of a whole. Every grand object catches the light only on one large surface. Where the light is spotted, we have the idea of several objects; or at least of an incoherent one, if the object be fingle; which the eye furveys with difficulty. It is thus in painting. When we see, on a comprehensive view, large masses of light and shade, we have, of course, the idea of a whole—of unity in that picture. where the light is scattered, we have the idea of feveral objects; or at least of one broken and confused. TITIAN'S known illustration of this point by a bunch of grapes is beautiful, and explanatory. When the light falls upon the whole bunch together (one fide being illumined, and the other dark) we have the representation of those large masses, which conflitute a whole. But when the grapes are Aripped from the bunch, and fcattered upon a table (the light shining upon each separately) a whole is no longer preserved.

Having thus considered those effentials of a print, which produce a whole, it remains to consider those, which relate to the parts—drawing, expression, grace, and perspective. With regard to these, let it be first observed, that in order, they are inserior to the other. The production of a whole is the great effect, that should be aimed at in a picture. A picture

picture without a whole is properly only a study: and those things, which produce a whole, are of course the principal soundation of beauty. So thought a great master of composition. With him no man was entitled to the name of artist, who could not produce a whole. However exquisitely he might sinish, he would still be desective.

Infelix operis sutma, quia ponere totum.

By drawing we mean the exactness of the out-line. Without a competent knowledge of this there can be no just representation of nature. Every thing will be distorted and offensive to the eye. Bad drawing therefore is that disgusting object which no practised eye can bear.

Drawing, however, may be very tolerable, though it fall short, in a certain degree, of absolute perfection. The defect will only be observed by the most critical, and anatomical eye: and we may venture to say, that drawing is ranked too high, when the niceties of it are considered in

Digitized by Google.

in preference to those effentials, which confitute a whole.

Expression is the life and soul of painting. It implies a just representation of passion, and of character: of passion, by exhibiting every emotion of the mind, as outwardly discovered by any peculiarity of gesture; or the extension, and contraction of the features: of character, by representing the different manners of men, as arising from their particular tempers, or professions. The cartoons are full of examples of the first kind of expression; and with regard to the second, commonly called manners-painting, it would be invidious not to mention our countryman HOGARTH; whose works contain a varietyof characters, represented with more force, than most men can conceive them.

Grace consists in such a disposition of the parts of a figure, as forms it into an agreeable attitude. It depends on contrast and ease. Constrast, when applied to a single figure, means the same, as when applied to a group; the opposition

opposition of one part to another. It may be considered with reference to the body, the limbs, and the bead; the graceful attitude arising sometimes from a contrast in one. fometimes in another, and fometimes in all. With reference to the bidy, contrast confists: in giving it an easy turn, opposing concave parts to convex. Of this St. PAUL in the facrifice at Lystra is an instance.—With reference to the limbs, it consists in the oppofition between extention and contraction. Michaell' Angelo's illustration by a triangle, or pyramid, may here likewise again be introduced; this form giving grace and beauty to a fingle figure, as well as to a group. Only here a greater liberty may be allowed. In grouping, the triangle should, I think, always' rest upon its' base; but in a single figure, it may be inverted, and stand upon its apex. Thus if the lower parts of the figure be extended, the upper parts should be contracted; but the fame beautiful form is given by extending the aims, and drawing! the feet eto: a point. - Laftly, contrast often arises from the air of the head; which is given by a turn of the neck from the line of the body. The cartoons abound with ex-4 in 1. amples C

amples of this species of grace. It is very remarkable in the sigure of St. John healing the cripple: and the same cartoon affords eight or nine more instances. I say the less on this subject, as it hath been so well explained by the ingenious author of the Analysis of Beauty.

Thus contrast is the foundation of grace; but it must ever be remembered, that contrast should be accompanied with ease. The body should be turned not twisted; every constrained posture avoided; and every motion such, as nature, which loves ease, would dictate.

What hath been said on this head relates eq ally to all sigures; those drawn from low, as well as those from bigb life. And here we may distinguish between picturesque grace, and that grace which arises from dignity of character. Of the former kind, which is the kind here treated of, all sigures should partake: you find it in BERGHEM's clowns, and in Callot's beggars; but it belongs to expression to mark those characteristics, which distinguish the latter.

Charles to be

I shall

I shall only observe farther, that when the piece consists of many figures, the contrast of each single figure should be subordinate to the contrast of the whole. It will be improper therefore, in many cases, to practise the rules, which have been just laid down. They ought, however, to be a general direction to the painter; and at least to be observed in the principal figures.

Perspective is that proportion, with regard to size, which near and distant objects, with their parts, bear to each other. It is an attendant on keeping: one gives the out-line; and the other fills it up. Without a competent knowledge of perspective very absurd things would be introduced: and yet to make a vain shew of it, is pedantic.—Under this head may be mentioned fore-shortening. But unless this be done with the utmost art, it were better omitted: it will otherwise occasion great awkwardness. Rubens is samous for fore-shortening; but the effect is chiefly seen in his paintings; seldom in his prints.

To

To, this summary of the rules, which relate to the whole of the picture, and to its parts, I, shall just add a few, observations, on executions, which relates equally to both.

By execution is meant that manner of work, ing, by which each artist produces his effect. Artists may differ in their execution or manner, and yet, all excel. CALLOT, for instance, uses a strong, firm stroke; SALVATOR, a. slight, and loose one; while REMBRANDE executes in a manner different from both, by scratches seemingly, at random.

Every artist is in some degree a mannerist: that is, he executes in a manner peculiar to himself: But the word mannerist has generally, a closer sense. Nature should be the standard of imitation: and every object should be executed, as nearly as possible, in ber manner. Thus WARTERLO's trees are all strongly impressed with the character of nature. Other masters again, deviating from this standard, execute in some manner of their own. They have a particular touch for a figure, or a tree:

tree: and this they apply on all occasions. Instead therefore of representing that endless which nature exhibits on every fubject, a sameness runs through all their performances. Every figure, and every tree bears the same stamp. Such artists are properly called mannerists. Tempest, Callot, and Testa are all mannerists of this kind.

By the spirit and freedom of execution, we mean something, which is difficult to explain. A certain heaviness always follows, when the artist is not sure of his stroke, and cannot execute his idea with precision. The reverse is the case, when he is certain of it, and gives it boldly. I know not how to explain better what is meant by spirit. Mere freedom a quick execution will give; but unless that freedom be attended with precision, the stroke, however free, will be so unmeaning as to lose its effect.

To these observations, it may not be improper to add a short comparative view of the peculiar excellences of pictures, and prints; which will shew us, in what points the picture lias the advantage.

 C_3

Digitized by Google

Iŋ

In design and compession the effect of each is equal. The print exhibits them with as much force and meaning, as the picture.

In keeping the picture has the advantage. The baziness of distance cannot well be expressed by any thing but the bue of nature, which the pencil is very able to give. The print endeavours to preserve this haziness; and to give the idea: but does it imperfectly. It does little more than aid the memory. We know the appearance exists in nature; and the print surnishes a hint to recollect it.

In the distribution of light the comparison runs very wide. Here the painter avails himself of a thousand varied tints, which affist him in this business; and by which he can harmonize his gradations from light to shade with an almost infinite variety. Harmonious colouring has in itself the effect of a proper distribution of light. The engraver, in the mean time, is left to work out his effect with two materials only, plain white and

£.:

and black.—In the print, however, you can more easily trace the principles of light and The pencil is the implement of deception; and it requires the eye of a master to diffinguish between the effect of light, and the effect of colour: but in the print, even the unpractifed eye can readily catch the mass; and follow the distribution of it through all its variety of middle tints.—One thing more may be added: If the picture has no harmony in its colouring, the tints being all at discord among themselves, which is often the case in the works even of reputable painters, a good print, from such a picture, is more beautiful than the picture itself. It preserves what is valuable (upon a supposition there is any thing valuable in it), and removes what is offenfive.

Thus the comparison runs with regard to those essentials, which relate to a whole: with regard to drawing, expression, grace, and perspective, we can pursue it only in the two former: in the latter, the picture and print have equal advantages.—With regard to perspective indeed, the lines of the print verging C4 more

more conspicuously to one point, mark the principles of it more strongly.

Drawing, in a picture, is effected by the contiguity of two different colours: in a print by a positive line. In the picture, therefore, drawing, has more of nature in it, and more of effect: but the student in anatomy finds more precision in the print; and can more easily trace the line, and follow it in all its windings through light and shade.—In mezzotinto the comparison fails; in which, drawing is effected nearly as it is in painting.

With regard to expression, the painter glories in his many advantages. The passions receive their force almost as much from colour, as from the emotion of feature. Nay lines, without colour, have frequently an effect very opposite to what is intended. Violent expressions, when lineal only, are often grotesque. The complexion should support the distortion. The bloated eyes of immoderate grief degenerate into course features, unless the pencil add those high-blown touches, which mark the

Digitizato, Google

the passion. Ask the engraver, why he could not give the dying faint of Dominicaino his true expression *? Why he gave him that ghaftly horror, inflead of the ferene languor of the original? The engraver may with jultice fay, he went as far as lines could go; but he wanted DOMINICHINO's pencil to give those pallid touches, which alone could make his lines expressive.—Age also, and fex, the bloom of youth, and the wan cheek of fickness, are equally indebted for their most characteristic marks, to the pencil.—In portrait. the different hues of hair, and complexion;in animal-life the various dies of furs, and plumage; - in landscape, the peculiar tints of seafons; of morning, and evening; the light azure of a summer-sky; the sultry glow of noon; the bluish, or purple tinge, which the mountain assumes, as it recedes, or approaches; the grey moss upon the ruin; the variegated greens, and mellow browns of foliage, and broken ground: in short, the. colours of every part of nature, have a wonderful force in strengthening the expression

of

JAC FREII'S copy of Dominichino's St. Jerome.

of objects.—In the room of all this, the deficient print has only to offer mere form, and the gradations of simple light. Hence the sweet touches of the pencil of CLAUDE, mark his pictures with the strongest expressions of nature, and render them invaluable; while his prints are generally the dirty shapes of something, which he could not express.

The idea also of distant magnitude, the print gives very imperfectly. It is expressed chiefly by colour. Air, which is naturally blue, is the medium through which we see; and every object participates of this blueness. the distance is small, the tinge is imperceptible: as it increases, the tinge grows stronger; and when the object is very remote, it intirely loses its natural colour, and becomes blue. And indeed this is so familiar a criterion of distance, at least with those who live in mountainous countries, that if the object be visible at all, after it has received the full ether-tinge, if I may so speak, the fight immediately judges it to be very large. The eye ranging over the plains of Egypt, and catching the blue point of a pyramid, from the colour concludes

Google

concludes the distance; and is struck with the magnitude of an object, which, through such a space, can exhibit form.—Here the print fails: this criterion of distant magnitude, it is unable to give.

I cannot forbear inferting here a short criticism on a passage in Virgit. The poet describing a tower retiring from a vessel in full sail, says,

Protinus aërias Phæacum abscondimus arces.

Ruæus, and other commentators, explain aëreas by altas, or some equivalent word; which is magnifying an idea which in nature should be diminished. The idea of magnitude is certainly not the striking idea that arises from a retiring object: I should rather imagine that VIRGIL, who was of all poets perhaps the most picturesque, meant to give us an idea of colour, rather than of shape; the tower, from its distance, having now assumed the aërial tinge.

The print equally fails, when the medium itself receives a foreign tinge from a strength of

of colour behind it. The idea of horror, impressed by an expanse of air glowing, in the night, with distant sire, cannot be raised by black and white. VANDERVELDE has often given us a good idea of the dreadful glare of a fleet in flames: but it were ridiculous for an engraver to attempt such a subject; because he cannot express that idea, which principally illustrates his story.

Transparency, again, the print is unable to express. Transparency is the united tinge of two colours, one behind the other; each of which, in part, discovers itself singly. If you employ one colour only, you have the idea of opaqueness. A fine carnation is a white transparent skin, spread over a mulitude of fmall blood vessels, which blush through it. When the breath departs, these little fountains of life cease to flow: the bloom fades; and livid paleness, the colour of death, succeeds. -The happy pencil marks both these effects. It spreads the glow of health over the cheek of beauty; and with equal facility it expresses the cold, wan, tint of human clay. The print can express neither; representing, in the 12 to

the same dry manner, the bright transparency; of the one, and the inert opaqueness of the other.

Lastly, the print fails in the expression of polished bodies; which are indebted for their chief lustre to reflected colours. The print indeed goes farther here, than in the case of transparency. In this it can do very little; in polished bodies, it can at least give reflected shapes. It can shew the forms of hanging woods upon the edges of the lake; though unable to give the kindred tinge. But in many cases the polished body receives the tinge, without the shape. Here the engraver is wholly deficient: he knows not how to stain the gleaming filver with the purple liquor it contains; nor is he able to give the hero's armour its highest polish from the tinge of the crimson vest, which covers it.

A fingle word upon the subject of execution, shall conclude these remarks. Here the advantage lies wholly on the side of painting. That manner which can best give the idea of the

the surface of an object, is the best; and the lines of the finest engraving are harsh in comparison of the smooth slow of the pencil. *Mezzotinto*, though deficient in some respects, is certainly in this the happiest mode of execution; and the ancient wooden print, in which the middle tint is used, has a softness, when well executed, which neither etching, nor engraving can give.

CHAP. II.

Observations on the different Kinds of Prints.

THERE are three kinds of Prints, engravings, etchings, and mezzotintos. The characteristic of the first is strength; of the second, freedom; and of the third, fostness. All these, however, may in some degree be found in each.

From the shape of the engraver's tool, each stroke is an angular incision; which must of course give the line strength, and sirmness; if it be not very tender. From such a line also, as it is a deliberate one, correctness may be expected; but no great freedom: for it is a laboured line, ploughed through the metal; and must necessarily, in a degree, want ease.

Unlimited freedom, on the other hand, is the characteristic of etching. The needle, gliding along

along the furface of the copper, meets no trefistance; and easily takes any turn the hand pleases to give it. Etching indeed is mere drawing: and may be practifed with the same facility.—But as aqua-fortis bites in an equable manners it cannot give the lines that firength) which they receive from a pointed graver cutting into the copper Befiles vit is difficult to prevent its biting the plate all over alike. The distant parts indeed may easily be covered? with wax, or varnish, and the general effect? of the keeping preserved; but to give each fmaller part its proper relief, and to barmonize; the whole, requires fo many different degrees of strength, such easy transitions from into another, that aqua-fortis alone is not equal to it. Here, therefore, engraving hath the addit vantage; which by a stroke, deep or tender, at the artist's pleasure; can vary strength addi faintness in any, degree?

As engraving, therefore, and etching have their respective advantages, and deficiencies, artists have endeavoured to unite their powers; and to correct the faults of each, by joining the freedom of the one, with the freeneth of the

the other. In most of our modern points, the plate is first etched, and afterwards strengthened, and finished by the graver. And when this is well done, it has a happy essect. The statness, which is the consequence of an equable strength of shade, is taken off; and the print gains a new essect, by the relief given to those parts which bang (in the painter's language) on the parts behind them.—But great art is necessary in this business. We see many a print, which wanted only a few touches, receive afterwards so many, as to become laboured, heavy, and disgusting.

In etching, we have the greatest variety of excellent prints. The case is, it is so much the same as drawing, that we have the very works themselves of the most celebrated masters: many of whom have less behind them prints in this way; which, however slight and incorrect, will always have something masterly, and of course beautiful in them.

In the muscling of human figures, of any considerable size, engraving hath undoubtedly

D the

the advantage of etching. The foft and delicate transitions, from light to shade, which are there required, cannot be so well expressed by the needle: and, in general, large prints require a strength which etching cannot; give; and are therefore sit subjects for engraving.

Etching, on the other hand, is more particularly adapted to sketches, and slight designs: which, if executed by an engraver, would entirely lose their freedom; and with it their beauty. Landscape too, in general, is the object of etching. The foliage of trees, ruins, sky, and indeed every part of landscape, requires the utmost freedom. In finishing an etched landscape with the tool (as it is called). too much care cannot be taken to prevent heaviness. We remarked before the nicety of touching upon an etched plate; but in landfcape the business is peculiarly delicate. The foregrounds, and the boles of fuch trees as are placed upon them, may require a few strong touches; and here and there a few harmonizing strokes will add to the effect: but if the engraver venture much farther, he has good -luck if he do no mischief. en sid eta ja

ر. العاملية

Digitized by Google:

్ జన్నా చేసుకు కేందాంకో లోకున్నే

An engraved plate, unless it be cut very flightly, will cast off seven or eight hundred good impressions: and yet this depends, in some degree, on the hardness of the copper. An etched plate will not give above two hundred; unless it be eaten very deep, and then it may perhaps give three hundred. After that, the plate must be retouched, or the impressions will be faint.

Before I conclude the subject of etching, I should mention an excellent mode of practifing it on a foft ground; which has been lately brought into use, and approaches still nearer to drawing, than the common mode. On a thin paper, somewhat larger than the plate, you trace a correct outline of the drawing you intend You then fold the paper, thus traced, over the plate; and laying the original drawing before you, finish the outline on the traced one with a black lead pencil. Every stroke of the pencil, which you make on one fide, licks up the foft ground on the other. So, that when you have finished your drawing with \mathbf{D}_{2} blackblack-lead, and take the paper off the plate, you will find a complete, and very beautiful drawing on the reverse of the paper; and the etching likewise as complete on the copper. You then proceed to bite it with aqua-fortis, in the common mode of etching: only as your ground is softer, the aqua-fortis must be weaker.

on copper, we have prints engraven on pewter, and on wood. The pewter plate gives a coarseness and dirtiness to the print, which is often disagreeable. But engraving upon wood is capable of great beauty. Of this species of engraving more shall elsewhere be faid.

Mezzotinto is very different from either 'engraving or etching. In these you cut out the shades on a smooth plate. In mezzotinto, the plate is covered with a rough ground; and you scrape the lights. The plate would otherwise give an impression entirely black.

Since the time of its invention by Prince RUPERT, as is commonly supposed, the art of

of scraping mezzotintos is greatly more improved than either of its fister arts. Some of the earliest etchings are perhaps the best; and engraving, fince the times of GOLTZIUS and MULLER, hath not perhaps made any great advances. But mezzotinto, compared with its original state, is, at this day, almost a new art. If we examine some of the modern pieces of workmanship in this way by our best mezzotinto-scrapers, they as much exceed the works of WHITE and SMITH, as those masters did BECKET and SIMONS. It must be owned, at the same time, they have better originals to copy. Kneller's portraits are very paltry, compared with those of our modern artists; and are scarce susceptible of any effects of light and shade. As to Prince RUPERT's works, I never faw any, which were certainly known to be his: but those I have seen for his, were executed in the same black, harsh, disagreeable manner, which appears so strong in the masters who succeeded him. The invention however was noble; and the early masters have the credit of it: but the truth is, the ingenious mechanic hath been called in to the painter's aid; and hath invented a manner of \mathbf{D}_{3}

laying ground, wholly unknown to the earlier masters: and they who are acquainted with mezzotinto, know the ground to be a very capital consideration.

The characteristic of mezzotinto is softness; which adapts it chiefly to portrait, or history, with a few figures, and these not too small. Nothing, except paint, can express flesh more staturally, or the flowing of hair, or the folds of drapery, or the catching lights of armour. In engraving and etching we must get over the prejudices of crofs lines, which exist on no natural bodies: but mezzotinto gives us the strongest representation of the real surface. however, the figures are too crowded, it wants strength to detach the several parts with a proper relief: and if they are very small, it wants precision, which can only be given by an outline; or, as in painting, by a different tint. In miniature-works also, the unevenness of the ground will occasion bad drawing, and awkwardness—in the extremities especially. Some inferior artists have endeavoured to remedy this, by terminating their figures with an engraved, or etched line: but they have tried the experiment with bad fuccefs. The strength of the line, and the foftness of the ground, accord

accord ill together. I speak not here of that judicious mixture of etching and mezzotinto, which was formerly used by WHITE; and which our best mezzotinto-scrapers at present use, to give a strength to particular parts; I speak only of a harsh, and injudicious lineal termination.

Mezzotinto excels each of the other species of prints, in its capacity of receiving the most beautiful effects of light and shade: as it can the most happily unite them, by blending them insensibly together.—Of this REMBRANDT seems to have been aware. He had probably seen some of the first mezzotintos; and admiring the effect, endeavoured to produce it in etching, by a variety of intersecting scratches.

You cannot well cast off more than an hundred good impressions from a mezzotinto plate. The rubbing of the hand soon wears it smooth: And yet by constantly repairing it, it may be made to give four or sive hundred, with tolerable strength. The first impressions are not always the best. They are too black and harsh. You will commonly have the best impressions from the fortieth to the sixtieth: the harsh edges will be softened down; and yet there will be spirit and strength enough left.

D 4 I should

I should not conclude these observations without mentioning the manner of working with the dry needle, as it is called; a manner between etching and engraving. It is performed by cutting the copper with a steel point, held like a pencil; and differs from etching only in the force with which you work. This method is used by all engravers in their skies, and other tender parts; and some of them carry it into still more general use.

Since the last edition of this work was published, a new mode of etching hath come much into use, called aquatinta. It is so far similar to the common mode of etching, that the shadows are bitten into copper by aquafortis, from which the lights are desended by a prepared, granulated ground. Through the minute interstices of this ground the aquafortis is admitted, and forms a kind of wash. In the composition of this granulation, the great secret of the art, I understand, consists; and different artists have their different modes of preparing their ground. Some also strengthen the aquatinta wash by the use of

the needle, as in common etching; which, in landscape especially, has a good effect. The secret of the art however, does not entirely confish in preparing, and laying on the ground. Much experience is necessary in the management of it.

The great advantage of this mode of etching is, that it comes nearer the idea of drawing, than any other species of working on copper: the shades are thrown in by a wash, as if with a brush. It is also, when perfectly understood, well calculated for dispatch. In general indeed, it seems better adapted to a rough sketch, than a finished work; yet in skilful hands, when assisted by the needle, or the engraver's tool, it may be carried to a great height of elegant finishing.

On the other hand, the great disadvantage of this mode of etching arises from the disficulty of making the shades graduate softly into the lights. When the artist has made too harsh an edge, and wishes to burnish it off, there is often a middle tint below it: in burnishing off the one, he disturbs the other; and instead of leaving a soft graduating edge, he introduces, in its room, an edging of light.

The

The aquatinta mode of etching was first introduced into England, though but little known, about thirty, or forty years ago, by a Frenchman of the name of La Prince: but whether he was the inventor of it, I never heard. It has since been improved by several artists. Mr. Sandby has used it very happily in several of his prints. Mr. Jukes also, and Mr. Malton have done some good things in this way: but, as far as I can judge, Mr. Alken has carried it to the highest degree of perfection; and has some secret in preparing, and managing his ground, which gives his prints a superior effect.

Fig. 5. In the second of the s

CHAR

CHAP. III.

Characters of the most noted Masters.

MASTERS IN HISTORY.

LBERT DURER, though not the inventor, was one of the first improvers of the art of engraving. He was a German painter, and at the same time a man of letters, and a philosopher. It may be added in his praise, that he was the intimate friend of Erasmus; who revised, it is supposed, some of the pieces which he published. He was a man of bufiness also; and was, during many years, the leading magistrate of Nuremburg.-His prints, confidered as the first efforts of a new art, have great merit. Nay, we may add, that it is aftonishing to see a new art, in its earliest essays, carried to such a length. In some of those prints, which he executed on eopper, the engraving is elegant to a great degree. His Hell-scene particularly, which was engraved in the year 1513, is as highly finished finished a print as ever was engraved, and as happily finished. The labour he has bestowed upon it, has its full effect. In his wooden prints too we are surprised to see so much meaning, in so early a master; the heads so well marked; and every part fo well executed. -This artist seems to have understood the principles of design. His composition too is often pleafing; and his drawing generally good: but he knows very little of the management of light; and still less of grace: and yet his ideas are purer, and more elegant, than we could have supposed from the awkward archetypes, which his country and education afforded. He was certainly a man of a very extensive genius; and, as Vasari remarks, would have been an extraordinary artist, if he had had an Italian, instead of a German education. His prints are numerous. were much admired in his own life-time, and eagerly bought up: which put his wife, who was a teasing woman, on urging him to spend more time upon engraving, than he was inclined to do. He was rich, and chose rather to practife his art as an amusement, than as a business. He died in the year 1527.

The

The immediate successors, and imitators of Albert Durer were Lucas van Leiden, Aldgrave, Pens, Hisben, and some others of less note. Their works are very much in their master's style; and were the admiration of an age which had seen nothing better. The best of Aldgrave's works are two or three small pieces of the story of Lot.

· GOLTZIUS flourished a little after the death of these masters; and carried engraving to a great height. He was a native of Germany. where he learned his art: but travelling afterwards into Italy, he improved his ideas. We plainly discover in him a mixture of the Flemish and Italian schools. His forms have fometimes a degree of elegance in them; but, in general, the Dutch master prevails. Goltzius is often happy in design and disposition; and fails most in the distribution of light. his chief excellence lies in execution. engraves in a noble, firm, expressive manner; which hath scarce been excelled by any succeeding 15

ceeding masters. There is a variety too in his mode of execution, which is very pleasing. His print of the *circumcision* is one of the best of his works. The story is well told; the groups agreeably disposed; and the execution admirable: but the sigures are Dutch; and the whole, through the want of a proper distribution of shade, is only a glaring mass.

MULLER engraved very much in the style of GOLTZIUS—I think in a still bolder and sirmer manner. We have no where greater master-pieces in execution, than the works of this artist exhibit. The baptism of John is perhaps the most beautiful specimen of bold engraving, that is extant.

ABRAHAM BLOEMART was a Dutch mafter also, and contemporary with Goltzius. We are not informed what particular means of improvement he had; but it is certain he designed in a more elegant taste, than any of his countrymen. His figures are often graceful; excepting only, that he gives them sometimes an affected twist; which

is still more conspicuous in the singers; an affectation which we sometimes also find in the prints of Goltzius.—The resurrection of LAZARUS is one of BLOEMART'S masterpieces; in which are many faults, and many beauties; both very characteristic.

While the Dutch masters were thus carrying the art of engraving to fo great a height, it was introduced into Italy by ANDREA MANTEGNA; to whom the Italians ascribe the invention of it. The paintings of this master abound in noble passages, but are formal and disagreeable. We have a specimen of them at Hampton-Court, in the triumph of Julius Cæsar.—His prints, which are faid to have been engraved on tin plates, are transcripts from the same ideas. We see in them the chaste, correct out-line, and noble fimplicity of the Roman school; but we are to expect nothing more; not the least attempt towards an agreeable whole. --- And indeed, we shall perhaps find, in general, that the masters of the Roman school were more studious of those essentials of painting, which regard the parts; and the Flemish masters. AX (A)

masters, of those, which regard the whole. The former therefore drew better figures; the latter made better pictures.

MANTEGNA was succeeded by PARMI-GIANO and PALMA, both masters of great reputation. PARMIGIANO having formed the most accurate taste on a thorough study of the works of RAPHAEL, and MICHAEL ANGELO, published many fingle figures, and fome defigns engraven on wood, abounded with every kind of beauty; if we may form a judgment of them from the few which we fometimes meet with. ther PARMIGIANO invented the art of engraving on wood, does not certainly appear. His pretentions to the invention of etching are less disputable. In this way he published many flight pieces, which do him great credit. In the midst of his labours, he was interrupted by a knavish engraver, who pillaged him of all his plates. Unable to bear the loss, he forswore his art, and abandoned himself to chemistry.

PALMA

PALMA was too much employed as a painter to have much leifure for etching. He hath left feveral prints, however, behind him; which are remarkable for the delicacy of the drawing, and the freedom of the execution. He etches in a loose, but masterly manner. His prints are scarce; and indeed we seldom meet with any that deserve more than the name of sketches.

FRANCIS PARIA feems to have copied the manner of PALMA with great success. But his prints are still scarcer than his master's; nor have we a sufficient number of them, to enable us to form much judgment of his merit.

But the great improver of the art of engraving on wood, and who at once carried it to a degree of perfection, which hath not fince been exceeded, was ANDREA ANDREANI, of Mantua. The works of this master are remarkable for the freedom, strength, and spirit

of the execution; the elegant correctness of the drawing; and in general for their effect. prints come so mear the idea of painting. have a force, which a pointed tool on copper earnot reach: and the wash, of which the middle tint is composed, adds often the lostmels of drawing. But the works of this mafter are feldom feen in perfection. They are fearce; and when we do meet with them, it is a chance If the impressions be good: and very much of the beauty of these prints depends on the goodness of the impression. For often the outline is left hard, the middle tint being loft; and fometimes the middle tint is left without its proper termination. So that on the whole. I should not judge this to be the happiest mode of engraving.

Among the ancient Italian masters, we cannot omit MARK ANTONIO; and AUGUSTIN of Venice. They are both celebrated; and have handed down to us many engravings from the works of RAPMARL: but their antiquity, not their merit, seems to have recommended them. Their execution is harsh, and formal to the last degree: and if their prints give

give us any idea of the works of RAPHAEL, we may well wonder, as PICART observes, how that master got his reputation.—But we cannot, perhaps, in England, form an adequate idea of these masters. I have been told, their best works are so much valued in Italy, that they are engrossed there by the curious that very sew of them find their way into other countries; and that what we have, are, in general, but the resuse.

de thinks in the second

FREDERIC BAROCCHI was born at Urbin; where the genius of RAPHAEL inspired him. In his early youth he travelled to Rome: and giving himself up to intense study, he acquired a great name in painting. At his leisure hours he etched a sew prints from his own designs; which are highly finished, and executed with great softenes and delicacy. The Substation is his capital performance: of which we seldom that with any impressions, but those taken stone the retouched plate, which are very harsh.

E 2

An-

ANTHONY TEMPESTA was a native of Florence, but refided chiefly at Rome; where he was employed in painting by GREGORY XIII. ---His prints are very numerous: all from his own deligns. Battles and huntings are the subjects in which he most delighted. His merit lies in expression, both in feature and in action; in the grandeur of his ideas; and in the fertility of his invention. His figures are often elegant, and graceful; and his heads marked with great spirit, and correctness. horses, though fleshy and ill drawn, and evidently never copied from nature, are, however, noble animals, and display an endless variety of beautiful actions. - His imperfections at the fame time, are glaring. His composition is generally bad. Here and there you have a good group; feldom an agreeable whole. He had not the art of preserving his back-grounds tender: so that we are not to expect any effect of keeping. His execution is harsh; and he is totally ignorant of the distribution of light .--But notwithstanding all his faults, such is his merit, that, as studies at least, his prints deferve a much higher rank in the cabinets of conconnoisseurs, than they generally find; you can scarce pick out one of them, which does not furnish materials for an excellent composition.

AUGUSTIN CARACCI has left a few etchings; which are admired for the delicacy of the drawing, and the freedom of the execution. But there is great flatness in them, and want of strength. Etchings, indeed, in this style are rather meant as sketches, than as sinished prints.—I have heard his print of St. Jerome much commended; but I find no remarks upon it in my own notes.

Guido's etchings, most of which are small, are esteemed for the simplicity of the design; the elegance and correctness of the outline; and that grace, for which this master is generally—perhaps too generally esteemed. The extremities of his sigures are particularly touched with great accuracy. But we have the same slatness in the works of Guido, which we find in those of his master Caracci; accompanied, at the same time, with less free-dom.

dom. The parts are finished; but the whole neglected.

CANTARINI copied the manner of GUIDO, as PARIA did that of PALMA; and so happily, that it is often difficult to distinguish the works of these two masters.

CALLOT was little acquainted with any of the grand principles of painting: of composition, and the management of light he was totally ignorant. But though he could not make a picture, he was admirably skilled in drawing a figure. His attitudes are generally graceful, when they are not affected; his expression Arong; his drawing correct; and his execution masterly, though rather laboured. His Fair is a good epitome of his works. Confidered as a whole, it is a confused jumble of ideas; but the parts, separately examined, appear the work of a master. The same character may be given of his most famous work, the Miseries of War: in which there is more expression, both in action and feature, than was ever perhaps shewn in so small a compass. And yet I know not whether

whether his Beggers be not the more capital performance. In the Miseries of War, he aims at composition, in which he rarely succeeds: his Beggars are detached figures, in which lay his strength. Though the works of this master are generally small, I have seen one of a large size. It confilts of two prints; each of them near four feet square, representing the siege of Toulon. They are rather indeed perspective plans, than The pains employed on them, is astonishing. They contain multitudes of figures; and, in miniature, represent all the humour, and all the employment of a camp.——I shall only add, that a vein of drollery runs through all the designs of this master: which sometimes, when he chuses to indulge it freely, as in the Temptation of St. ANTHONY, displays itself in a very facetious manner.

COUNT GAUDE contracted a friendship at Rome with ADAM ELSHAMAR; from whose designs he engraved a few prints. GAUDE was a young nobleman on his travels; and never practised engraving as a profession. This would call for indulgence, if his prints wanted it: but in their way, they are beautiful; though E 4 on

•

on the whole, formal, and unpleasant. They are highly finished; and this correctness has deprived them of freedom. Moon-lights, and torch-lights are the subjects he generally chuses; and he often preserves the effects of these different lights. His prints are generally small. I know only one, the Flight into Egypt, of a larger size.

SALVATOR ROSA painted landscape more than history; but his prints are chiefly histo-He was bred a painter; and underflood his art; if we except the management of light, of which he feems to have been The capital landscape of this ignorant. master at Chiswick, is a noble picture. The contrivance, the composition, the distances, the figures, and all the parts and appendages of it are fine: but in point of light it might perhaps have been improved, if the middle ground, where the figures of the fecond distance stand, had been thrown into sun-shine. -In design, and generally in composition, SAL-VATOR is often happy. His figures, which he drew in good taste, are graceful, and expresfive, well grouped, and varied in agreeable attitudes. In the legs, it must be owned, he iş

is a mannerift: they are well drawn; but all cast in one mould. There is a stiffness too in the backs of his extended hands: the palms are beautiful. But these are trivial criticisms. -His manner is flight; so as not to admit either foftness or effect: yet the simplicity and elegance of it are pleasing; and bear that strong characteristic of a master's hand, sibi quivis sperat idem.—One thing in his manner of shading, is disagreeable. He will often shade a face half over with long lines; which, in so fmall and delicate an object, gives an unpleafant abruptness. It is treating a face like an egg: no distinction of feature is observed. SALVATOR was a man of genius, and of learning: both which he has found frequent opportunities of displaying in his works. His style is grand; every object that he introduces is of the heroic kind; and his subjects in general shew an intimacy with ancient history, and mythology.—A roving disposition, to which he is faid to have given a full scope, seems to have added a wildness to all his thoughts. are told, he spent the early part of his life in a troop of banditti: and that the rocky and defolate scenes, in which he was accustomed to take refuge, furnished him with those romantic ideas

ideas in landscape, of which he is so exceedingly fond; and in the description of which he so much excels. His Robbers, as his detached figures are commonly called, are supposed to have been taken from the life.

REMBRANDT'S excellency, as a painter, lay in colouring; which he possessed in such perfection, that it almost screens every fault in his pictures. His prints, deprived of this palliative, have only his inferior qualifications to recommend them. These are expression, and skill in the management of light, execution, and fometimes composition. I mention them in the order in which he feems to have posfessed them. His expression has the most force in the character of age. He marks as strongly as the hand of time. He possesses too, in a great degree, that inferior kind of expression, which gives its proper, and characteristic touch to drapery, fur, metal, and every object he represents.—His management of light confifts chiefly in making a very strong contrast; which has often a good effect: and yet in many of his prints, there is no effect at all; which gives us reason to think, he either

ther had no principles, or published such prints before his principles were ascertained.—His execution is peculiar to himself. It is rough. or neat, as he meant a sketch, or a finished piece; but always free and mafterly. It produces its effect by strokes intersected in every direction; and comes nearer the idea of painting than the execution of any other master in etching-Never painter was more at a loss than REMBRANDT, for that species of grace, which is necessary to support an elevated character. While he keeps within the fphere of his genius, and contents himself with low subjects, he deserves any praise. But when he attempts beauty, or dignity, it were good+ natured to suppose, he means only burlesque He is a ftrong contrast to and caricature. SALVATOR. The one drew all his ideas from nature, as she appears with grace and elegance: The other caught her in her meanest images; and transferred those images into the highest characters. Hence SALVATOR exalts banditti into heroes: REMBRANDT degrades patriarchs into beggars. REMBRANDT, indeed, seems to have affected awkwardness. He was a man of humour; and would laugh at those artists who studied the antique. shew

shew you my antiques," he would cry; and then he would carry his friends into a room furnished with head-dresses, draperies, household-stuff, and instruments of all kinds: "These," he would add, " are worth all your antiques."—His best etching is that, which goes by the name of the bundred-guilders-print; which is in such esteem, that I have known thirty guineas given for a good impression of it. In this all his excellencies are united: and I might add, his imperfections also. Age and wretchedness are admirably described; but the principal figure is ridiculously mean.-REM-BRANDT is faid to have left behind him near three hundred prints; none of which are dated before 1628; none after 1659. They were in fuch esteem, even in his own life time, that he is faid to have retouched some of them four or five times.

PETER TESTA studied upon a plan very different from that, either of SALVATOR, or REMBRANDT. Those masters drew their ideas from nature: TESTA, from what he esteemed a superior model—the antique. Smitten with the love of painting, this artist travelled

velled to Rome in the habit of a pilgrim; deftitute of every mean of improvement, but what mere genius furnished. He had not even interest to procure a recommendation; nor had he any address to substitute in its room. works of sculpture fell most obviously in his way; and to these he applied himself with so much industry, copying them over, and over, that he is faid to have gotten them all by heart. Thus qualified, he took up the pencil. But he foon found the school, in which he had fludied, an infufficient one to form a painter. He had neglected colouring; and his pictures were in no esteem. I have heard it said, that -fome of his pictures were excellent: and that if the house of Medici had continued to direct the talte of Italy, his works would have taken the lead among the first productions of the age. But it was Thera's misfortune to live when the arts were under a less discerning patronage: and P. DA CORTONA, who was TESTA's rival, rhough far inferior to him in genius, carried the spalmy is Disappointeds and mortified, he threw -afide dis pallet, and applied himself to etchinge; in which he became a thorough proficient.— His prints have great merit; though they are little esteemed. We are seldom, indeed to ex-1985 St 12 pect

pest a coherency of design in any of them. An enthulialtic vein runs through most of his compositions; and it is not an improbable conjecture, that his head was a little difturbed. generally crouds into his pieces fuch a jumble of inconfistent ideas; that it is difficult sometimes only to guess at what he aims. He was as little acquainted with the distribution of light, as with the rules of defign: and yet, notwithflanding all this, his works contain an infinite fund of entertainment. There is an exuberance of fancy in him, which, with all its wildness, is agreeable: his ideas are fublime and noble; his drawing is elegantly correct; his heads exhibit a monderful variety of characters; and are touched with uncommon spirit, and expression; his figures are graceful, rather too nearly allied to the antique; his groups often beautiful; and his execution, in his best etchings, (for he is fometimes unequal to himself,) very masterly.* Perhaps, no prints afford more uleful studies for a painter. The Procession of SILENUS, if we may guess at so confused a delign, may illustrate all that hath been said. The whole is as inco-

herent,

. Digitized by Google.

Some of his works are etched by Cas. Testa.

herent, as the parts are beautiful.—This unfortunate artist was drowned in the Tyber; and it is left uncertain, whether by accident or design.

SPANIOLET etched a few prints in a very spirited manner. No master understood better the force of every touch. SILENUS and BACCHUS, and the Martyrdom of St. BARTHOLOMEW, are the best of his historical prints: and yet these are inferior to some of his caricatures, which are admirably executed.

MICHAEL DORIGNY, or OLD DORIGNY, as he is often called, to diffinguish him from NICHOLAS, had the misfortune to be the son-in-law of SIMON VOUET; whose works he engrayed, and whose imperfections he copied. His execution is free, and he preserves the lights extremely well on single figures: his drapery too is natural, and easy; but his drawing is below criticism; in the extremities especially. In this his master misled him. Vouer excelled in composition; of which we have many beautiful instances in DORIGNY's prints.

VIL-

VILLAMENA was inferior to few engravers. If he be deficient in strength and effect, there is a delicacy in his manner, which is inimitable. One of his best prints is, the Descent from the Cross.—But his works are so rare, that we can scarce form an adequate idea of his merit.

STEPHEN DE LA BELLA was a minute ge-His manner wants strength for any larger work; but in small objects it appears to advantage: there is great freedom in it, and uncommon neatnefs. His figures are touched with spirit; and sometimes his composition is good: but he feldom discovers any skill in the management of light; though the defect is less striking, because of the smallness of his pieces. His Pont Neuf will give us an idea of his works. Through the bad management of the light, it makes no appearance as a whole; though the composition, if we except the modern architecture, is tolerable. But the figures are marked with great beauty; and the distances extremely fine. -Some of his single heads are very elegant.

LA

LA FAGE's works confift chiefly of sketches. The great excellency of this master lay in drawing; in which he was perfectly skilled. ever unfinished his pieces are, they discover him to have been well acquainted with anatomy and proportion. There is very little in him besides, that is valuable; grace, and expression sometimes; seldom composition: his figures are generally too much crouded, or too diffuse. As for light and shade, he seems to have been totally ignorant of their effect; or he could never have shewn so bad a taste, as to publish his designs without, at least, a bare expression of the masses of each. we have positive proof, as well as negative. Where he has attempted an effect of light, he has only shewn how little he knew of it. His genius chiefly displays itself in the gambols of nymphs and fatyrs; in routs and revels: but there is so much obscenity in his works of this kind, that, although otherwise fine, they scarce afford an innocent amusement.—In some of his prints, in which he has attempted the fublimest characters, he has given them a wonderful dignity. Some of his figures of Christ are

are not inferior to the ideas of RAPHAEL: and in a flight sketch, intitled, Vocation de Moyse, the Deity is introduced with surprising majesty.—His best works are slightly etched from his drawings by ERTINGER; who has done justice to them.

BOLSWERT engraved the works of RUBENS, and in a style worthy of his master. You see the same free, and animated manner in both. It is said that RUBENS touched his proofs: and it is probable; the ideas of the painter are so exactly transfused into the works of the engraver.

PONTIUS too engraved the works of RU-BENS; and would have appeared a greater master, if he had not had such a rival as Bols-WERT.

SCIAMINOSSI etched a few small plates, of the Mysteries of the Rosary, in a masterly style. There is no great beauty in the composition; but the drawing is good; the figures are gene-10 rally rally graceful; and the heads touched with spirit.

ROMAN LE HOOGHE is inimitable in execution. Perhaps, no master etches in a freer and more spirited manner: there is a richness in it likewise, which we seldom meet with. His figures too are often good; but his composition is generally faulty: it is crouded, and confused. He knows little of the effect of light. There is a flutter in him too, which hurts an eye pleased with simplicity. His prints are generally historical. The deluge at Goeverden is finely described.—LE HOOGHE was much employed, by the authors of his time, in composing frontispieces; some of which are very beautiful.

LUIKEN etches in the manner of LE HOOGHE, but it is a less masterly manner. His History of the Bible is a great work; in which there are many good figures, and great freedom of execution: but poor composition, much confusion, and little skill in the distribution of light. This master hath also etched a

book of various kinds of capital punishment; amongst which, though the subject is disgusting, there are many good prints.

GERRARD LAIRESSE etches in a loofe, and unfinished; but free, and masterly manner. His light is often well distributed; but his shades have not sufficient strength to give his pieces effect. Though he was a Dutch painter, you see nothing of the Dutchman in his works. His composition is generally elegant and beautiful; especially where he has only a few figures to manage. His figures themselves are graceful, and his expression strong.—It may be added, that his draperies are particularly The fimple and fublime ideas, excellent. which appear every where in his works, acquired him the title of the Dutch RAPHAEL; a title which he well deserves. LAIRESSE may be called, an ethic painter. He commonly inculcates fome truth either in morals, or religion; which he illustrates by a Latin fentence at the bottom of his print.

CASTIG-

Erri, Satisabrin

CASTIGLIONE was an Italian painter of eminence. He drew human figures with grace and correctness: yet he generally chose such subjects as would admit the introduction of animal life, which often makes the more distinguished part. There is a fimplicity in the defigns of this master, which is beautiful. In compolition he excels. Of his elegant groups we have many instances; in a set of prints, etched from his paintings, in a flight, free manner, by C. MACEE; particularly in those of the patriarchal journeyings. He hath left us several of bishown etchings, which are very valuable. The subjects, indeed, of some of them, are edd and fantastic; and the composition not equal ato some prints we have from paintings, by other hands; but the execution is greatly fuperior. Freedom, strength, and foirit, are eminent in them; and delicacy likewife, where he chuses to finish highly; of which we have some instances.—One of his best prints is, the entering of NOAH into the ark. The composition; the distribution of light; the fpirit and expression, with which F 3

1893

the animals are touched; and the freedom of the execution, are all admirable.

TIEPOLO was a distinguished master: but by his merit; rather than the number of his etchings. He was chiefly employed, I have heard, as a painter, in the Escurial, and other palaces in Spain. The work, on which his reputation as an etcher is founded, is a series of twenty plates, about nine inches long, and feven broad. The subject of them is emblematical; but of difficult interpretation. They contain, however, a great variety of rich, and elegant composition; of excellent figures; and of fine old heads and characters. They are scarce; at least, they have rarely fallen in my way. I have seen a few other prints by this master: but none, except these, which I have thought excellent. He was a strange, whimsical man; and, perhaps, his best pieces were those, in which he gave a loofe to the wildness of his imagination.

VANDER MUILEN has given us historical representations of feveral modern battles.

Lewis

Lewis XIV. is his great hero. His prints are generally large, and contain many good figures, and agreeable groups: but they have no effect, and feldom produce a whole. A difagreeable monotony (as the musical people speak) runs through them all.

OTHO VENIUS has entirely the air of an Italian, though of Dutch parentage. He had the honour of being master to RUBENS; who chiefly learned from him his knowledge of light and shade. This artist published a book of love-emblems; in which the Cupids are engraved with great elegance. His pieces of fabulous history have less merit.

GALESTRUZZI was an excellent artist. There is great firmness in his stroke; great precision; and, at the same time, great free-His drawing is good; his heads are well touched, and his draperies beautiful. has etched feveral things from the antique; fome of them, indeed, but indifferently. best of his works, which I have seen, is the F .

Story

Story of NIOBE, (a long, narrow print) from POLIDORE.

MELLAN was a whimfical engraver. shadowed entirely with parallel lines; which he winds round the muscles of his figures, and the folds of his draperies, with great variety and beauty. His manner is foft and delicate; but void of strength and effect. His compofitions of course make no whole, though his fingle figures are often elegant. His faints and statues are, in general, his best pieces. is great expression in many of the former; and his drapery is often incomparable. One of his best prints is inscribed, Per se surgens: and another very good one, with this strange pasfage from St. Austin; Ego evangelio non crederem, nisi me catholicæ ecclesiæ commoveret auctoritas.—His head of Christ, effected by a fingle spiral line, is a masterly, but whimsical performance.

OSTADE's etchings, like his pictures, are admirable representations of low life. They abound in humour and expression; in which lies

fies their merit. They have little besides to recommend them. His composition is generally very indifferent; and his execution no way remarkable. Sometimes, but seldom, you fee an effect of light.

CORNELIUS BEGA etches very much in the manner of OSTADE; but with more freedom.

VAN TULDEN has nothing of the Dutch master in his design; which seems formed on the study of the antique. It is chaste, elegant, and correct. His manner is rather firm, and distinct; than free, and spirited. His principal work is; the verage of ULYSSES, in fifty-eight plates; in which we have a great-variety of elegant attitudes, excellent characters of heads, good drawing; and though not much effect, yet often good grouping. His drapery is heavy.

JOSEPH PARROGELLE : painted battles for LEWIS XIV. - He etched also several of his own designs. The best of his works are eight small these are of a size larger than the rest; of which, the Battle, and Stripping the Slain, are very sine. Of the four smaller, that entitled Vesper is the best—His manner is rough, free, and masterly; and his knowledge of the effect of light considerable.—His greatest undertaking was, the Life of Christ, in a series of plates: but it is a hasty, and incorrect work. Most of the prints are mere sketches: and many of them, even in that light, are bad; though the freedom of the manner is pleasing in the worst of them. The best plates are the 14th, 17th, 19th, 22d, 28th, 39th, 41st, 42d, and 43d.

V. LE FEBRE etched many deligns from TITTAN and JULIO ROMANO, in a very miferable manner. His drawing is bad; his drapery frittered; his lights ill-preserved; and his execution disgusting; and yet we find his works in capital collections.

Bellange's prints are highly finished, and his execution is not amiss. His figures also have fomething in them, which looks like grace;

grace; and his light is tolerably well massed. But his heads are ill set on; his extremities incorrectly touched; his sigures badly proportioned; and, in short, his drawing in general very bad.

CLAUDE GILLOT was a French painter: but finding himself rivalled, he laid aside his pencil, and employed himself entirely in etching. His common subjects are dances and revels; adorned with satyrs, nymphs, and sauns. By giving his sylvans a peculiar cast of eye, he has introduced a new kind of character. The invention, and sancy of this master are pleasing; and his composition is often good. His manner is slight; which is the best apology for his bad drawing.

WATTEAU has great defects; and, it must be owned, great merit. He abounds in all that flutter, and affectation, which is so disagreeable in the generality of French painters. But, at the same time, we acknowledge, he draws well; gives grace and delicacy to his figures; and produces often a beautiful effect of

of light. I speak, chiefly of such of his works, as have been engraved by others.—He etched a few slight plates himself, with great freedom and elegance. The best of them are contained in a small book of sigures, in various dresses and attitudes.

CORNELIUS SCHUT excels chiefly in execution; fometimes in composition: but he knows nothing of grace; and has, upon the whole, but little merit.

WILLIAM BAUR etches with great spirit. His largest works are historical. He has given us many of the sieges and battles, which wasted Flanders in the sixteenth century. They may be exact, and probably they are; but they are rather plans than pictures; and have little to recommend them but historic truth, and the freedom of the execution. BAUR's best prints are, characters of different nations; in which the peculiarities of each are well observed. His Ovid is a poor performance.

COYPEL

and a little and in made.

\$.

COYPEL hath left a few prints of his own etching; the principal of which is, an *Ecce Homo*, touched with great spirit. Several of his own designs he etched, and afterwards put into the hands of engravers to finish. It is probable he overlooked the work: but we should certainly have had better prints, if we had received them pure from his own needle. What they had lost in force, would have been amply made up in spirit.

PICART was one of the most ingenious of the French engravers. His imitations are among the most entertaining of his works. The taste of bis day, ran wholly in favour of antiquity: "No modern masters were worth looking at." PICART, piqued at such prejudice, etched several pieces in imitation of ancient masters; and so happily, that he almost out-did, in their own excellences, the artists whom he copied. These prints were much admired, as the works of Guido, Rembrandt, and others. Having had his joke, he published them under the title of Impostures inno-

centes.—PICART'S own manner is highly finished; yet, at the same time, rich, bold, and spirited: his prints are generally small; and most of them from the designs of others. One of the best is from that beautiful composition of Poussin, in which Truth is delivered by Time, from Envy.

ARTHUR POND, our countryman, succeeded admirably in this method of imitation; in which he hath etched several valuable prints; particularly two oval landscapes after SALVATOR—a monkey in red chalk after CARRACHE—two or three ruins after PANINI, and some others equally excellent,

But this method of imitation hath been most successfully practised by Count CAYLUS, an ingenious French nobleman; whose works, in this way, are very voluminous. He hath ransacked the French king's cabinet; and hath scarce lest a master of any note, from whose drawings he hath not given us an excellent specimen. Insomuch, that if we had nothing remaining of those masters, but Count CAYLUS'S works,

works, we should not want a very sufficient idea of them. So versatile is his genius, that with the same ease he presents us with an elegant outline from RAPHAEL, a rough sketch from REMBRANDT, and a delicate portrait from VANDYKE,

LE CLERC was an excellent engraver; but chiefly in miniature. He immortalized ALEX-ANDER, and LEWIS XIV. in plates of four or five inches long. His genius feldom exceeds these dimensions; within which he can draw up twenty thousand men with great dexterity. No artist, except CALLOT and DELLA BELLA, could touch a small figure with so much spirit. He seems to have imitated CALLOT's manner; but his stroke is neither so firm, nor so makerly.

PETER BARTOLI etched with freedom; though his manner is not agreeable. His capital work is LANFRANK'S gallery.

JAC

JAC. FREII is an admirable engraver. He unites, in a great degree, strength, and soft-ness; and comes as near the force of painting, as an engraver can well do. He has given us the strongest ideas of the works of several of the most eminent masters. He preserves the drawing, and expression of his original; and often, perhaps, improves the effect. There is a richness too in his manner, which is very pleasing. You see him in perfection, in a noble print from C. MARATTI, intitled, In conspectu angelorum psallam tibi.

- R. V. AUDEN AERD copied many things from C. MARATTI, and other masters, in a style indeed very inferior to JAC. FREII, (whose rich execution he could not reach,) but yet with some elegance. His manner is smooth, and finished; but without effect. His drawing is good, but his lights are frittered.
- S. GRIBELIN is a careful, and laborious engraver; of no extensive genius; but painfully

fully exact. His works are chiefly small; the principal of which are his copies from the Banqueting-House at Whitehall; and from the Cartoons. His manner is formal; yet he has contrived to preserve the spirit of his original. I know no copies of the Cartoons so valuable as his. It is a pity he had not engraved them on a larger scale.

LE BAS etches in a clear, distinct, free manner; and has done great honour to the works of TENIERS, WOVERMAN, and BERGHEM; from whom he chiefly copied. The best of his works are after BERGHEM.

Bischor's etching has something very pleasing in it. It is loose, and free; and yet has strength, and richness. Many of his statues are good sigures: the drawing is sometimes incorrect; but the execution is always beautiful. Many of the plates of his drawingbook are good. His greatest single work, is the representation of Joseph in Egypt; in which there are many faults, both in the drawing and effect; some of which are chargeable on himself, and others on the artist from G whom whom he copied; but on the whole, it is a pleafing print.

FRANCIS PERRIER was the debauched for of a goldsmith in Franchecomté. His indiseretion forcing him from home, his inclination led him to Italy. His manner of travelling thither was whimsical. He joined himself to a blind beggar, whom he agreed to lead for half his alms. At Rome, he applied to painting; and made a much greater proficiency than could have been expected from his difsipated life. He published a large collection of statues and other antiquities; which are etched in a masterly manner. The drawing is often incorrect; but the attitudes are well chofen, and the execution spirited. Many of them feem to have been done hastily; but there are marks of genius in them all.

MAROT, architect to K. WILLIAM, hath etched some statues likewise, in a masterly manner. Indeed all his works are well executed; but they consist chiefly of ornaments in the way of his profession.

FRAN.

FRAN. RORTTIERS etches in a very bold manner, and with spirit; but there is a harshness in his outline, which is disagreeable; though the less so, as his drawing is generally good. Few artists manage a crowd better; or give it more effect by a proper distribution of light. Of this management we have some judicious instances in his two capital prints, the Assumption of the cross, and the Crucission.

but not succeeding at the bar, he studied painting; and afterwards applied to engraving. His capital work is, the Transsiguration; which Mr. Addition calls the noblest print in the world. It is unquestionably a noble work; but DORIGNY seems to have exhausted his genius upon it: for he did nothing afterwards worth preserving. His Cartoons are very poor. He engraved them in his old age; and was obliged to employ assistants, who did not answer his expectation.

G 2

MASTERS

Masters in Portrait.

Among the masters in portrait, REMBRANDT takes the lead. His heads are admirable copies from nature; and perhaps the best of his works. There is great expression in them, and character.

VAN ULIET followed REMBRANDT's manner; which he hath in many things excelled. Some of his heads are exceedingly beautiful. The force which he gives to every feature, the roundness of the muscle, the spirit of the execution, the strength of the character, and the effect of the whole, are admirable.

J. LIEVENS etches in the same style. His heads are executed with great spirit; and deferve

ferve a place in any collection of prints; though they are certainly inferior to ULIET's.—ULIET, and LIEVENS etched fome historical prints; particularly the latter, (whose Lazarus, after REMBRANDT, is a noble work), but their portraits are their best prints.

Among the imitators of REMBRANDT, we should not forget our countryman WORLIDGE; who has very ingeniously followed the manner of that master; and sometimes improved upon him. No man understood the drawing of a head better.—His small prints also, from antique gems, are neat, and masterly.

Many of VAN DYKE's etchings do him great credit. They are chiefly to be found in a collection of the portraits of eminent artists, which VAN DYKE was at the expence of getting engraved. They are done slightly; but bear the character of a master. LUKE VOSTERMAN is one of the best. It is probable VAN DYKE made the drawings for most of them: his manner is conspicuous in them all.

G 3 —A very

passes under the name of this master. It is a good print, but not equal to what we might have expected from VAN Drke.

We have a few prints of Sir PETER LELY's etching likewise; but there is nothing in them that is very interesting.

R. WHITE was the principal engraver of portraits, in CHARLES the Second's reign; but his works are miserable performances. They are said to be good likenesses; and they may be so; but they are wretched prints.

BECKET and SIMONS are names which scarce deserve to be mentioned. They were in their time, mezzotinto-scrapers of note, only because there were no others.

WHITE, the mezzotinto-scraper, son of the engraver, was an artist of great merit. He copied

copied after Sir Godfrey Kneller; whom he teafed so much with his proofs, that it is said Sir Godfrey sorbad him his house. His mezzotintos are very beautiful. Bartiste, Wing, Sturges, and Hooper are all admirable prints. He himself used to say, that old and young Parr were the best portraits he ever scraped. His manner was peculiar, at the time he used it: though it hath since been adopted by other masters. He sirst etched his plate, and then scraped it. Hence his prints preserve their spirit longer than the generality of mezzotintos.

SMITH was the pupil of BECKET; but he foon excelled his master. He was esteemed the best mezzotinto-scraper of his time; though, perhaps, inserior to WHITE. He hath lest a very numerous collection of portraits: so numerous, that they are often bound in two large folios. He copied chiesly from Sir Godfrev; and is said to have had an apartment in his house.—Lord Somers was so fond of the works of this master; that he seldom travelled, without carrying them with him in the seat

Digitized by Google

of his coach.—Some of his best prints are two holy families, Anthony Leigh, Mary Magdalene, Scalken, a half-length of Lady Elizabeth Cromwell, the duke of Schomberg on horse-back, the countess of Salisbury, Gibbon the statuary, and a very fine hawking piece from Wyke.—After all, it must be owned, that the best of these mezzotintos are inferior to what we have seen executed by the masters of the present age.

Mellan's portraits are the most indifferent of his works. They want strength, spirit, and effect.

PITTERI hath lately published a set of heads, from PIAZZETA, in the style of Mellan; but in a much finer taste, with regard both to composition, and manner. Though, like Mellan, he never crosses his stroke; yet he has contrived to give his heads more force and spirit.

J. Morin's

- J. MORIN's heads are engraved in a very peculiar manner. They are stippled with a graver, after the manner of mezzotinto; and have a good effect. They have force; and, at the same time, softness. Few portraits, on the whole, are better. Guido Bentivolius from Van Dyke is one of the best.
 - J. LUTMA's heads are executed in the same way: we are told, with a chifel and mallet. They are inferior to Morin's; but are not without merit.

EDM. MARMION etched a few portraits in the manner of VAN DYKE, and probably from him; in which there is ease and freedom. He has put his name only to one of them.

WOLFANG, a German engraver, managed his tools with foftness, and delicacy; at the same time preserving a considerable degree of spirit. spirit. But his works are scarce. I make these remarks indeed, from a single head, that of HUET, bishop of Auranches; which is the only work of his, that I have seen.

DREVET'S portraits are neat, and elegant; but laboured to the last degree. They are compied from RIGAUD, and other French masters; and abound in all that flutter, and licentious drapery, so opposite to the simple and chaste ideas of true taste. DREVET excels chiefly in copying RIGAUD's frippery; lace, silk, fur, velvet, and other ornamental parts of dress.

RICHARDSON hath left us several heads, which he etched for Mr. Pope, and others of his friends. They are slight, but shew the spirit of a master. Mr. Pope's profile is the best.

VERTUE was a good antiquarian, and a worthy man, but no artist. He copied with painful

painful exactness; in a dry, disagreeable manner, without force, or freedom. In his whole collection of heads, we can searce pick out half a dozen, which are good.

Such an artist in mezzotinto, was FABER. He has published nothing extremely bad; and yet nothing worth collecting. Mrs. Collier is one of his best prints; and has some merit. She is leaning against a pillar; on the base of which is engraved the story of the golden apple.

HOUBRAKEN is a genius; and has given us, in his collection of English portraits, some pieces of engraving at least equal to any thing of the kind. Such are his heads of HAMBDEN, SCHOMBERG, the earl of BEDFORD, the duke of RICHMOND particularly, and some others, At the same time we must own, that he has intermixed among his works, a great number of bad prints. In his best, there is a wonderful union of softness, and freedom. A more elegant and slowing line no artist ever employed,

Our

Our countryman FRY has left behind him a few very beautiful heads in mezzotinto. They are all copied from nature; have great foftness, and spirit; but want strength. Mezzotinto is not adapted to works so large, as the heads he has published.

MASTERS IN ANIMAL LIFE.

BERGHEM has a genius truly pastoral; and brings before us the most agreeable scenes of rural life. The fimplicity of Arcadian manners is no where better described than in his works. We have a large collection of prints from his defigns; many etched by himself, and many by other masters. Those by himself are flight, but mafterly. His execution is inimitable. His cattle, which are always the distinguished part of his pieces, are well drawn, admirably characterized, and generally well grouped. Few painters excelled more in composition than BERGHEM; and yet we have more beautiful instances of it in the prints etched from him by others, than in those by himself. Among his own etchings a few fmall plates of sheep and goats are exceedingly valued.

J. VISSCHER

I. VISSCHER never appears to more advantage than when he copies BERGHEM. excellent drawing, and the freedom of his execution, give a great value to his prints; which have more the air of originals, than of copies. He is a master both in etching. and engraving. His flightest etchings, though copies only, are the works of a matter; and when he touches with a graver, he knows how to add strength and firmness, without destroying freedom and spirit. He might be faid to have done all things well, if he had not failed in the distribution of light: it is more than probable, he has not attended to the effect of it, in many of the paintings which he has copied.

DANKER DANKERTS is another excellent copyist from Berghem. Every thing, that has been faid of Visscher, may be faid of him; and perhaps still in a stronger manner.—Like Visscher too he fails in the management of his lights.

Hondius,

HONDIUS, a native of Rotterdam, passed the greater part of his life in England. painted animals chiefly; was free in his manster: extravagent in his colouring; incorrect in his drawing; ignorant of the effect of light; but great in expression. His prints therefore are better than his pictures. They possess his chief excellency, with fewer of his defects. They are executed in a neat stroke; but with great spirit; and afford strong in-Stances of animal fury. His bunted wolf is an admirable print.

DE JARDIN understood the anatomy of domellic animals perhaps better than any other master. His drawing is correct; and yet the freedom of the master is preserved. He copied mature strictly, though not fervilely: and has given us not only the form, but the characteristic peculiarities, of each animal. He newer, indeed, like HONDIUS, animates his creation with the violence of favage fury. genius takes a milder tura. All is quietness, and repose. His dogs, after their exercise, are

Digitized by GOOGLE

are stretched at their ease; and the languor of a meridian sun prevails commonly through all his pieces. His composition is beautiful; and his execution, though neat, is spirited.—His works, when bound together, make a volume of about sifty leaves; among which there is scarce one bad print.

RUBENS'S huntings are undoubtedly superior on the whole, to any thing of the kind we have. There is more invention in them, and a grander style of composition, than we find any where else. I class them under his name. because they are engraved by several masters. But all their engravings are poor. present the paintings they are copied from, as a shadow does the object which projects it. There is something of the shape; but all the finishing is lost. And there is no doubt, but the awkwardnesses, the patch-work, and the grotesque characters, which every where appear in these prints, are in the originals bold fore-shortnings, grand effects of light, and noble instances of expression. -But it is as difficult to copy the flights of RUBENS, as to translate

translate those of PINDAR. The spirit of each master evaporates in the process.

WOVERMAN'S composition is generally crouded with little ornaments. There is no simplicity in his works. He wanted a chaste judgment to correct his exuberance.—Vis-SCHER was the first who engraved prints from this artist. He chose only a few good designs; and executed them masterly.-MOYREAU undertook him next, and hath published a large collection. He hath finished them highly; but with more foftness than spirit. His prints however have a neat appearance, and exhibit a variety of pleasing representations; cavalcades, marches, huntings, and encampments.

ROSA of TIVOLI etched in a very finished manner. No one out-did him in composition and execution: he is very skilful too in the management of light. His designs are all pastoral; and yet there is often a mixture of the heroic style in his composition, which is very pleasing. His prints are scarce; and, were they not so, would be valuable.

H

STEPHEN

STEPHEN DE LA BELLA may be mentioned among the masters in animal life; though sew of his works in this way deserve any other praise, than what arises from the elegance of the execution. In general, his animals are neither well drawn, nor justly characterized. The best of his works in animal life are some heads of camels and dromedaries.

ANTHONY TEMPESTA hath etched several plates of single horses, and of huntings. He hath given great expression to his animals; but his composition is more than ordinarily bad in these prints: nor is there in any of them the least effect of light.

J. FYT hath etched a few animals; in which we discover the drawing, and something of that strength and spirit, with which he painted. But I never saw more than two or three of his prints.

In curious collections we meet with a few of Cuyr's etchings. The pictures of this master excel in colouring, composition, drawing, and the expression of character. His prints have all these excellences, except the first.

PETER DE LAER hath left us several small etchings of horses, and other animals, well characterized, and executed in a bold and masterly manner. Some of them are single sigures; but when he composes, his composition is generally good, and his distribution of light seldom much amis; often pleasing: his drawing too is commonly good.

PETER STOOP came from Lisbon with queen CATHARINE; and was admired in England, till WYCK's superior excellence in painting eclipsed him. He hath etched a book of horses, which are much valued; as there is in general, accuracy in the drawing, nature in the characters, and spirit in the execution.

H 2 Rem-

REMBRANDT's lions, which are etched in his usual style, are worthy the notice of a connoisseur.

BLOTELING's lions are highly finished; but with more neatness than spirit.

PAUL POTTER etched several plates of cows and horses in a masterly manner. His manner, indeed, is better than his drawing; which, in his sheep especially, is but very indifferent: neither does he characterize them with any accuracy.

BARLOW's etchings are numerous. His illustration of Æsop is his greatest work. There is something pleasing in the composition and manner of this master, though neither is excellent. His drawing too is very indifferent; nor does he characterize any animal justly. His birds in general are better than his beasts.

FLAMEN

FLAMEN has etched several plates of birds and fishes: the former are bad; the latter better than any thing of the kind we have.

I shall close this account with RIDINGER, who is one of the greatest masters in animal This artist has marked the characters of animals, especially of the more savage kind, with great expression. His works may be confidered as natural history. He carries us into the forest among bears, and tygers; and, with the exactness of a naturalist, describes their forms, haunts, and manner of living.—His composition is generally beautiful; fo that he commonly produces an agreeable whole. His landscape too is picturesque and romantic; and well adapted to the fubject he treats.—On the other hand, his manner is laboured, and wants freedom. human figures are feldom drawn with tafte. His horses are ill-characterized, and worse drawn; and, indeed, his drawing, in general, is but flovenly.—The prints of this master are often real history; and represent the portraits H 3

traits of particular animals, which had been taken in hunting. We have fometimes, too, the flory of the chace in High-Dutch, at the bottom of the print. The idea of historical truth adds a relish to the entertainment; and we furvey the animal with new pleafure, which has given diversion to a German prince for nine hours together,—The productions of RIDINGER are very numerous; and the greater part of them good. His huntings in general, and different methods of catching animals, are the least picturesque of his works. But he meant them rather as didactic prints, than as pictures. Many of his fables are beautiful; particularly the 3d, the 7th, the 8th, and the 10th. I cannot forbear adding a particular encomium, on a book of the heads of wolves and foxes.—His most capital prints are two large uprights; one representing bears devouring a deer; the other, wild-boars reposing in a forest.

MASTERS

MASTERS IN LANDSCAPE,

SADLER's landscapes have some merit in composition: they are picturesque and romantic; but the manner is dry and disagreeable; the light ill-distributed; the distances ill-kept; and the figures bad.-There were three engravers of this name; but none of them eminent. John engraved a fet of plates for the Bible; and many other small prints in the historical way: in which we fometimes find a graceful figure, and tolerable drawing; but, on the whole, no great me-EGIDIUS was the engraver of landfcape; and is the person here criticised. RALPH chiefly copied the defigns of BASSAN; and engraved in the dry disagreeable manner of his brother.

H 4

REM-

REMBRANDT's landscapes have very little to recommend them, besides their effect; which is often surprising. One of the most admired of them goes under the name of The Three Trees.

GASPER POUSSIN etched a few landscapes in a very loose, but masterly manner. It is a pity we have not more of his works.

ABRAHAM BLOEMART understood the beauty of composition, as well in landscape, as in history. But his prints have little force, through the want of a proper distribution of light. Neither is there much freedom in the execution.

HOLLAR was born at Prague; and brought into England by that great patron of arts, the earl of ARUNDEL, in CHARLES I's time. He was an artist of great merit, and in various ways: but I place him here, as his principal works

works are views of particular places; which he copied with great truth, as he found them. If we are fatisfied with exact representation, we have it no where better, than in HOLLAR's But we are not to expect pictures. works. His large views are generally bad: I might indeed fay, all his large works. His shipping, his Fohesian matron, his Virgil, and his Juvenal, are among the worst. Many of these prints he wrought, and probably wrought hastily, for booksellers. His smaller works are often good. Among these are many views of castles, which he took on the Rhine, and the Danube; and many views also in Eng-His distances are generally pleasing. land. In his foregrounds, which he probably took exactly as he found them, he fails most. Among his other views is a very beautiful one of London bridge, and the parts adjacent, taken somewhere near Somerset-house. HOLLAR has given us also several plates in animal life, which are good; particularly two or three small plates of domestic fowls, wild ducks, woodcocks, and other game. Among his prints of game, there is particularly one very highly finished, in which a hare is represented hanging with a basket of birds. His

His shells, muss, and butterslies, are admirable. His loose etchings too are far from wanting spirit; and his imitations are excellent, particularly those after count GAUDE, CALLOT, and BARLOW. He has admirably expressed the manner of those masters-of CALLOT especially, whose Beggars have all the spirit of the originals, in a reduced size.— In general, however, HOLLAR is most admired as an antiquarian. We confider his works as a repository of curiosities; and records of antiquated dreffes, abolished ceremonies, and edifices now in ruins. And yet many of his antiquities are elegantly touched. The Gothic ornaments of his cathedrals are often masterly. The sword of EDWARD VI. the cup of ANDREA MONTEGNA, and the vases from Holbein, are all beautiful.—I have dwelt the longer on this artist, as he is in general much efteemed; and as I had an opportunity of examining two of the noblest collections of his works, I believe, in England—one in the King's library, collected, as I have heard, by king WILLIAM; the other in the library of the late duchess dowager of PORTLAND. And yet though these collections are fo very numerous (each, as I remember,

contained in two large volumes in folio) neither of them is complete. There were forme prints in each, which were not in the other.—
Notwithstanding Hollar was so very indefatigable, and was patronized by many people of rank, he was so very poor, that he died with an execution in his house.

STEPHEN DE LA BELLA'S landscapes have little to recommend them, besides their neatness, and keeping. His composition is seldom good; and the foliage of his trees resembles bits of spunge. I speak chiesly of his larger works; for which his manner is not calculated. His neatness qualifies him better for miniature.

BOLSWERT'S landscapes after REUBENS are executed in a grand style. Such a painter, and such an engraver, could not fail of producing something great. There is little variety in them: nor any of the more minute beauties arising from contrast, catching lights, and such little elegances; but every thing is simple, and great. The print, which goes by

by the name of *The waggon*, is particularly, and defervedly admired. Of these prints we generally meet with good impressions; as the plates are engraved with great strength.

NEULANT hath etched a small book of the ruins of Rome; in which there is great simplicity, and some skill in composition, and the distribution of light: but the execution is harsh and disagreeable.

We have a few landscapes by an earl of Sunderland, in an elegant, loose manner. One of them, in which a Spaniard is standing on the foreground, is marked G. & J. sculpserunt: another J. G.

WATERLO is a name beyond any other in landscape. His subjects are perfectly rural. Simplicity is their characteristic. We find no great variety in them, nor stretch of fancy. He selects a few humble objects. A coppice, a corner of a forest, a winding road, or a straggling village is generally the extent of his view:

view: nor does he always introduce an offfkip. His composition is generally good, so far as it goes, and his light often well distributed; but his chief merit lies in execution; in which he is a consummate master. Every object that he touches, has the character of nature: but he particularly excels in the foliage of trees.—It is a difficult matter to meet with the larger works at least, of this master in perfection; the original plates are all retouched, and greatly injured:

SWANEVELT painted landscape at Rome; where he obtained the name of the hermit, from his folitary walks among the ruins of TIVOLI, and FRESCATI; among the rocky vallies of the Sabine mountains; and the beautiful wooded lakes of the Latin hills. He etched in the manner of WATERLO; but with less freedom. His trees, in particular, will bear no -comparison with those of that master. he fell short of WATERLO in the freedom of execution, he went greatly beyond him in the dignity of defign. WATERLO faw nature with a Dutchman's eye. If we except two or three of his pieces, he never went beyond

beyond the plain simplicity of a Flemish landscape. SWANEVELT's ideas were of a nobler cast. SWANEVELT had trodden classfic ground; and had warmed his imagination with the grandeur and variety of Italian views, every where ornamented with the splendid ruins of Roman architecture: but his favourite subjects seem to have been the mountainforests, where a magnificent disposition of ground, and rock is embellished with the noblest growth of forest-trees. His composition is often good; and his lights judiciously spread. In his execution, we plainly discover two manners: whether a number of his plates have been retouched by some judicious hand; or whether he himself altered his manner in the different periods of his life.

JAMES ROUSSEAU, the disciple of SWANE-VELT, was a French protestant; and sted into England from the persecution of Lewis XIV. Here he was patronized by the duke of Mon-TAGUE; whose palace, now the British Museum, he contributed to adorn with his paintings; some of which are good. The sew etchings he hath left are beautiful. He understood derstood composition, and the distribution of light; and there is a fine taste in his land. scapes; if we except perhaps only that his horizon is often taken too high. can his perspective, at all times, bear a critical examination; and what is worse, it is often pedantically introduced. His figures are good in themselves, and generally well placed. --His manner is rather dry and formal.--Rousseau, it may be added, was an excellent man. Having escaped the rage of persecution himself, he made it his study to lessen the fufferings of his distressed brethren; by distributing among them great part of the produce of his genius. Such an anecdote, in the life of a painter, should not be omitted, even in so fhort a review as this.

We now and then meet with an etching by RUYSDALE; but I never faw any, that was not exceedingly flight.

J. LUTMA hath etched a few small landscapes in a masterly manner; which discover some fome skill in composition, and the management of light.

ISRAEL SYLVESTRE has given us a great variety of small views (some indeed of a larger fize) of ruins, churches, bridges and castles, in France and Italy. They are exceedingly neat, and touched with great spirit. master can give beauty even to the outlines of a modern building; and what is more, he gives it without injuring the truth: infomuch that I have feen a gentleman just come from this travels, pick out many of SYLVESTRE'S views, one by one, (though he had never feen them before,) merely from his acquaintance with the buildings. To the praise of this mafter it may be farther added, that in general he forms his view into an agreeable whole; and if his light is not always well distributed, there are so many beauties in his; execution, that the eye cannot find fault. His works are very numerous, and few of them are bad. In trees he excels leaft.

The

The etchings of CLAUDE LORRAIN are below his character. His execution is bad; and there is a dirtiness in it, which displeases: his trees are heavy; his lights feldom well-massed; and his distances only sometimes observed.—The truth is, CLAUDE's talents lay upon his pallet; and he could do little without it. His Via facra is one of his best prints. The trees and ruins on the left, are beautifully touched; and the whole (though rather formal) would have been pleasing, if the foreground had been in shadow.——After all, it is probable, I may not have feen some of his best prints. I have heard a sea-port much praised for the effect of a setting sun; and another print, in which a large group of trees fill the centre, with water, and cattle on the foreground; and a distance, on each side of the trees. But I do not recollect feeing either of these prints.

Perette has great merit. His fancy is fruitful; and supplies him with a richness, and variety in his views, which nature seldom exhibits.

hibits. It is indeed too exuberant; for he often confounds the eye with too great a luxuriancy. His manner is his own; and it is diffigult to fay, whether it excels most in riche ness, strength, elegance, or streedom, s His trees are particularly beautiful; the foliage is loose, and the ramification easy. And yet it must be confessed, that PERELLE is rather a mannerist, than a copier of nature. views are all ideal; his trees; are of one fan mily 1 and his light, though generally well distributed, is sometimes affected: it is introduced as a fpot; and is not properly melted into the neighbouring shade by a middle tint. Catching lights, used sparingly, are beautiful a PERELLE affects thom.—These remarks are! made principally on the works of Old PERELLE: For there were three engravers of this name; the grandfather, the father, and the fon. They all engraved in the same style; but the iuniers, instead of improving the family taste,. degenerated. The grandfather is the best, and the grandfon the worst.

VANDER CAREL feems to have been a careless artist; and discovers great sloveniness in

in many of his works: but in those which he has studied, and carefully executed, there is great beauty. His mantier is loose and masterly. It wants effect; but abounds in freedom. His trees are often particularly well managed; and his small pieces, in general, are the best of his works.

In WEIROTTER we see great neatness, and high sinishing; but often at the expence of spirit and effect. He seems to have understood best the management of trees; to which he always gives a beautiful looleness.—There is great effect in a small moon-light by this master: the whole is in dark shade, except three sigures on the foreground.

OVERBECK etched a book of Roman ruins: which are in general good. They are pretty large, and highly finished. His manner is free, his light often well distributed, and his composition agreeable.

I 2

ا ن

GENOEL'S

GENOEL's landscapes are rather free sketches, than finished prints. In that light they are beautiful. No effect is aimed at: but the free manner in which they are touched, is pleasing; and the composition is in general good, though often crowded.

BOTH's taste in landscape is elegant. His ideas are grand; his composition beautiful; and his execution rich and masterly in a high degree. His light is not always well distributed. His figures are excellent. We regret that we have not more of his works; for they are certainly, on the whole, among the best landscapes we have.

MARCO RICCI's works, which are numerous, have little merit. His human figures indeed are good, and his trees tolerable; but he produces no effect, his manner is difgusting, his cattle ill-drawn, and his distances ill-preferved.

LE VEAU's landscapes are highly finished: they are engraved with great softness, elegance, and spirit. The keeping of this master is particularly well observed. His subjects too are well chosen; and his prints indeed, in general, make beautiful furniture.

ZUINGG engraves in a manner very like LE. VEAU; but not quite so elegantly.

ZEEMAN was a Dutch painter; and excelled in fea-coasts, beaches, and distant land; which he commonly adorned with skiffs, and sisting-boats. His prints are copies from his pictures. His execution is neat, and his distances well kept: but he knows nothing of the distribution of light. His figures too are good, and his skiffs admirable. In his feapieces he introduces larger vessels; but his prints in this style are commonly awkward, and disagreeable.

I 3

VANDIEST

VANDIEST left behind him a few rough sketches, which are executed with great free-' dom.

GOUPY very happily caught the manner of SALVATOR; and in some things excelled him. There is a richness in his execution, and a spirit in his trees, which SALVATOR wants. But his figures are bad. Very gross instances, not only of indelicacy of outline, but even of bad drawing, may be found in his print of Porsenna, and in that of DIANA. Landscape is his fort; and his best prints are those which go under the titles of the Latrones, the Augurs, Tobit, Hagar, and its companion.

PIRANESI has given us a larger collection of Roman antiquities, than any other mafter; and has added to his ruins a great variety of modern buildings. The critics fay, he has trusted too much to his eye; and that his proportions and perspective are often faulty. He seems to be a rapid genius; and we are told,

told, the drawings, which he takes on the spot, are as slight and rough as possible: the rest he makes out by memory and invention. His invention indeed is wonderful; and I know not whether fuch of his works as are entirely of his own invention are not the best. From fo rapid, and voluminous an artift, indeed we cannot expect much correctness: his works complete, fell at least for fifty pounds.—But the great excellence of this artist lies in execution; of which he is a confummate master. His stroke is firm, free, and bold, in the greatest degree; and his manner admirably calculated to produce a grand, and rich effect. But the effects he produces are rarely feen, except in fingle objects. A defaced capital, a ruined wall, or broken fluting, he touches with great fpirit. He expresses even the stains of weather-beaten marble; and those of his prints, in which he has an opportunity of difplaying expression in this way, are generally the best. His stroke has much the appearance of etching; but I have been informed that it is chiefly engraved, and that he makes great use of the dry needle.—His faults are many. His horizon is often taken too high; his views are frequently ill-chosen; his objects crowded; his forms ill-shaped. Of the distribution of light he I 4 has

Digitized by Google

has little knowledge. Now and then we meet with an effect of it; which makes us only lament, that in such masterly performances it is found so seldom. His sigures are bad; they are ill-drawn, and the drapery hangs in tatters. It is the more unhappy, as his prints are populous. His trees are in a paltry style; and his skies hard, and frittered.

Our celebrated countryman HOGARTH cannot properly be omitted in a catalogue of engravers; and yet he ranks in none of the foregoing classes. With this apology I shall introduce him here.

The works of this master abound in true humour; and satire, which is generally well directed. They are admirable moral lessons, and afford a fund of entertainment suited to every taste: a circumstance, which shews them to be just copies of nature. We may consider them too as valuable repositories of the manners, customs, and dresses of the present age. What amusement would a collection of this kind afford, drawn from every period of the history of Britain?—How far the works of HOGARTH will bear a critical examination, may be the subject of a little more inquiry.

Įn

In design HOGARTH was seldom at a loss. His invention was fertile; and his judgment accurate. An improper incident is rarely introduced; a proper one rarely omitted. No one could tell a story better; or make it, in all its circumstances, more intelligible. His genius, however, it must be owned, was suited only to low, or familiar subjects. It never soared above common life; to subjects naturally sublime; or which from antiquity, or other accidents borrowed dignity, he could not rife.

In composition we see little in him to admire. In many of his prints, the deficiency is fo great, as plainly to imply a want of all principle; which makes us ready to believe, that when we do meet with a beautiful group, it is In one of his minor the effect of chance. works, the idle 'prentice, we feldom see a crowd more beautifully managed, than in the last print. If the sheriff's officers had not been placed in a line, and had been brought a little lower in the picture, so as to have formed a pyramid with the cart, the composition had been unexceptionable; and yet the first print of this work is so striking an instance of disagreeable composition, that it is amazing, how. an artist, who had any idea of beautiful forms.

forms, could suffer so unmasterly a performance to leave his hands.

Of the distribution of light HOGARTH had as little knowledge as of composition. In some of his pieces we see a good effect; as in the execution just mentioned: in which, if the figures at the right and lest corners, had been kept down a little, the light would have been beautifully distributed on the foreground, and a fine secondary light spread over part of the crowd: but at the same time there is so obvious a desiciency in point of effect, in most of his prints, that it is very evident he had no principles.

Neither was HOGARTH a master of drawing. Of the muscles and anatomy of the head and hands he had perfect knowledge; but his trunks are often badly moulded, and his limbs ill set on. I tax him with plain bad drawing; I speak not of the niceties of anatomy, and elegance of out-line: of these indeed he knew nothing; nor were they of use in that mode of design which he cultivated: and yet his sigures, on the whole, are inspired with so much life, and meaning; that the eye is kept in good humour, in spite of its inclination to find fault.

The

The author of the Analysis of Beauty, it might be supposed, would have given us more instances of grace, than we find in the works of HOGARTH; which shews strongly that theory and practice are not always united. Many opportunities his subjects naturally afford of introducing graceful attitudes; and yet we have very few examples of them. With instances of picturesque grace his works abound.

Of his expression, in which the force of his genius lay, we cannot speak in terms too high. In every mode of it he was truly excellent. The passions he thoroughly understood; and all the effects which they produce in every part of the human frame: he had the happy art also of conveying his ideas with the same precision, with which he conceived them.-He was excellent too in expressing any humorous oddity, which we often see stamped upon the human face. All his heads are cast in the very mould of nature. Hence that endless variety, which is displayed through his works: and hence it is, that the difference arises between bis heads, and the affected caricatures of those masters, who have sometimes amused themselves with patching together an affemblage of features from their own ideas. Such

Such are SPANIOLET's; which, though admirably executed, appear plainly to have no archetypes in nature. HOGARTH's, on the other hand, are collections of natural curiofities. The Oxford-beads, the physician's-arms, and fome of his other pieces, are expressly of this humorous kind. They are truly comic; though ill-natured effusions of mirth: more entertaining than SPANIOLET's, as they are pure nature; but less innocent, as they contain ill-directed ridicule.—But the species of expression, in which this master perhaps most excels, is that happy art of catching those peculiarities of air, and gesture, which the ridiculous part of every profession contract; and which, for that reason, become characteristic of the whole. His counfellors, his undertakers, his lawyers, his usurers, are all conspicuous at fight. In a word, almost every profession may see in his works, that particular frecies of affectation, which they should most endeavour to avoid.

The execution of this master is well suited to his subjects, and manner of treating them. He etches with great spirit; and never gives one unnecessary stroke. For myself, I greatly more value the works of his own needle, than those

those high-finished prints, on which he employed other engravers. For as the production of an effect is not his talent; and as this is the chief excellence of high-finishing; his own rough manner is certainly preferable; in which we have most of the force, and spirit of his expression. The manner in none of his works pleases me so well, as in a small print of a corner of a play-house. There is more spirit in a work of this kind, struck off at once, warm from the imagination, than in all the cold correctness of an elaborate engraving. his works had been executed in this style, with a few improvements in the composition, and the management of light, they would certainly have been a more valuable collection of prints than they are. The Rake's Progress, and some of his other works, are both etched and engraved by himself: they are well done; but it is plain he meant them as furniture. As works defigned for a critic's eye, they would have been better without the engraving; except a few touches in a very few places. The want of effect too would have been less conspicuous, which in his highest finished prints is disagreeably striking.

to on who of the

All the state of the state of

 t^{\prime}

CHAP. IV.

Remarks on particular Prints.

AVING thus examined the characters of feveral masters, I shall now make a few remarks on some particular prints, by way of illustrating the observations that have been made: The first print I shall criticize, is

THE RESURRECTION OF LAZARUS, BY BLOEMART.

With regard to design, this print has great merit. The point of time is very judiciously chosen. It is a point between the first command, Lazarus, come forth; and the second; Loose him, and let him go. The astonishment of the two sisters is now over. The predominant passion is gratitude; which is discovering itself in praise. One of the attendants is telling the

the stupisied man, "That is your sister." Himfelf, collecting his fcattered ideas, directs his gratitude to Christ. Jesus directs it to heaven. So far the design is good. But what are those idle figures on the right hand, and on the left? Some of them feem no way concerned in the Two of the principal are introduced as grave-diggers; but even in that capacity they were unwanted; for the place, we are told, was a cave, and a stone lay upon it. painter is employed on a barren subject, he must make up his groups as he is able; but there was no barrenness here: the artist might, with propriety, have introduced, in the room of the grave-diggers, some of the Pharisaical party maligning the action. Such, we are told, were on the fpot; and, as they are figures of consequence in the story, they ought not to have been shoved back, as they are, among the appendages of the piece.

The composition is almost faultless. The principal group is finely disposed. It opens in a beautiful manner, and discovers every part. It is equally beautiful, when considered in combination with the figures on the left hand.

The light is but ill-distributed, though the fire gures are disposed to receive the most beauitful effect been better, if all the figures on the elevated ground, on the right, had been in strong shadow. The extended arm, the head and shoulder of the grave-digger, might have received catching lights. A little more light might have been thrown on the principal figure; and a little less on the figure kneeling. The remaining figures, on the left, should have been kept down. Thus the light would have centered strongly on the capital group, and would have faded gradually away.

The fingle figures are in general good. The principal one indeed is not so capital as might be wished. The character is not quite pleasing; the right arm is awkwardly introduced, if not ill-drawn; and the whole disagreeably incumbered with drapery.—Lazarus is very fine: the drawing, the expression, and grace of the figure are all good.—The figure kneeling contrasts with the group.—The grave-diggers are both admirable. It is a pity, they should be incumbrances only.

The drawing is in general good: yet there feems to be fomething amis in the pectoral muscles of the grave-digger on the right. The hands too of almost all the sigures are confirmed.

Arhined and awkward. Few of them are in natural action.

The mainer, which is mere engraving, without any eaching, is strong, distinct, and expressive.

THE REATH OF POLYCRATES; BY. SALVATOR ROSA.

The flary is well told: every part is fully, engaged in the subject, and properly subordinate to it.

The difficien is agreeable. The contrivance of the groups, falling one into another, is pleating: and yet the form would have been more beautiful, if a ladder with a figure upon it, a piece of loofe drapery, a standard, or some other object, had been placed on the lest side of the cross, to have filled up that formal vacancy, in the shape of a right-angle, and to have made the pyramid more complete. The groups themselves are simple and elegant. The three figures on horse-back indeed are bad. A line of heads is always unpleasing.

There is little idea of keeping. The whole is a00 much one surface; which might have been prevented by more force on the fore-ground, and a slighter sky.

K 2

The

The light is distributed without any judgment. It might perhaps have been improved, if the group of the soldier resting on his shield, had been in shadow; with a few catching lights. This shadow, passing through the label, might have extended over great part of the foreground above it; by which we should have had a body of shadow to balance the light of the centre-group. The lower sigures of the equestrian-group might have received a middle tint, with a few strong touches; the upper sigures might have caught the light; to detach them from the ground.—There are some lights too in the sky, which would be better removed.

With regard to the figures taken separately, they are almost unexceptionably good. We seldom indeed see so many good figures in any collection of such a number. The young soldier leaning over his shield; the other figures of that group; the soldier pointing, in the middle of the picture; and the figure behind him spreading his hands, are all in the highest degree elegant, and graceful. The distant singures too are beautiful. The expression, in the whole body of the spectators, is striking. Some are more, and some less affected; but

every one in a degree.—All the figures, however, are not faultless. Polycrates hangs ungracefully on his cross: his body is composed of parallel lines, and right angles. His face is strongly marked with agony: but his legs are disproportioned to his body.—The three lower figures of the equestrian-group have little beauty.—One of the equestrian figures also, that nearest the cross, is formal and displeasing: and as to a horse, SALVATOR seems to have had very little idea of the proportion and anatomy of that animal.—Indeed the wbole of this corner of the print is bad; and I know not whether the composition would not be improved by the removal of it.

The scenery is beautiful. The rock broken, and covered with shrubs at the top; and afterwards spreading into one grand, and simple shade, is in itself a pleasing object; and affords an excellent back-ground to the sigures.

The execution of this print is equal to that of any of SALVATOR's works.

THE TRIUMPH OF SILENUS; BY PETER TESTA.

P. TESTA feems, in this elegant and masterly performance, as far as his sublime ideas can be comprehended, to have intended a fatire on the indulgence of inordinate desires.

The design is perfect. Silenus representing drunkenness, is introduced in the middle of the piece, holding an ivy-crown, and supported by his train, in all the pomp of unwieldy majesty. Before him dance a band of bacchanalian rioters; some of them, as the scribed by the poets,

Mollibus in pratis, unclos saliere per utres.

Intemperance, Debauchery, and unnatural Lufts complete the immoral festival. In the offskip rises the temple of Priapus; and hard-by a mountain, dedicated to lewdness, nymphs, and satyrs.—In the heavens are represented the Moon

Moon and Stars pushing back the Sun. This group is introduced in various attitudes of surprize, and fear. The Moon is hiding her face; and one of her companions, extinguishing a torch—all implying, that such revels, as are here described, dreaded the approach of day.

The disposition has less merit; yet is not unpleasing. The group, on the lest, and the several parts of it, are happily disposed. The group of dancers, on the other side, is crowded, and ill-shaped. The disposition might, perhaps, have had a better effect, if an elegant canopy had been held over SILENUS; which would have been no improper appendage; and, by forming the apex of a pyramid over the principal sigure, would have given more variety and beauty to the whole.

The light, with regard to particular figures, is just, and beautiful. But such a light, at best, gives us only the idea of a picture examined by a candle. Every figure, as you hold the candle to it, appears well lighted; but instead of an effect of light, you have only a succession of spots. Indeed the light is not only ill, but absurdly distributed. The upper part is enlightened by one sun, and the lower part by another; the direction of the light K4

being different in each.—Should we endeavour to amend it, it might be better perhaps to leave out the Sun; and to represent him, by his fymbols, as approaching only. The fkyfigures would of course receive catching lights, and might be left nearly as they are. figure of Rain under the Moon should be in shadow. The bear too, and the lion's head should be kept down. Thus there would be nothing glaring in the celestial figures. LENUS, and his train, might be enlightened by a strong torch-light, carried by the dancing figures. The light would then fall nearly as it does, on the principal group. The other figures should be brought down to a middle This kind of light would naturally produce a gloom in the background, which would have a good effect.

With regard to the figures taken separately, they are conceived with such classical purity, and simplicity of taste; so elegant in the drawing, and so graceful in every attitude; that if I were obliged to fix upon any print, as an example of all the beauties which single figures are capable of receiving, I should almost be tempted to give the preserence to this.

2000

4

The

Digitized by Google

The most striking instances of fine drawing are seen in the principal sigure; in the legs of the sigure that supports him; and in those of the sigure dancing with the pipes; in the man and woman behind the centaur; in the sigure in the clouds, with his right hand over his knee; and particularly in that bold fore-shortened sigure on the right of the Sun.

Instances of expression we have in the unwieldiness of SILENUS. He appears so dead a weight, so totally unelastic, that every part of him, which is not supported, sinks with its own gravity. The fensibility too with which his bloated body, like a quagmire, feels every touch, is strongly expressed in his countenance. The figure, which supports him, expresses strongly the labour of the action. The dancing figures are all well characterized. The pushing figures also in the sky are marked with great expression; and above all the threatening figure, represented in the act of drawing a bow.

With regard to grace, every figure, at least every capital one, is agreeable; if we except only that figure, which lies kicking its legs upon the ground. But we have the strongest instances of grace in the figure dancing with

the pipes; in the man and woman behind the centaur, (who, it is not improbable, might be deligned for BACCHUS and ARIADNE;) and in the boy lying on the ground.

With regard to execution, we rarely see an instance of it in greater persection. Every head, every muscle, and every extremity is touched with infinite spirit. The very appendages are size; and the stone-pines, which adorn the background, are marked with such taste and precision, as if landscape had been this artist's only study.

SMITH'S PORTRAIT OF THE DUKE OF SCHOMBERG; FROM KNELLER.

KNELLER, even when he laid himself out to excel, was often but a tawdry painter. equestrian portrait of king WILLIAM, at Hampton-court, is a very unmasterly performance: the composition is bad; the colouring gaudy; the whole is void of effect, and there is scarce a good figure in the piece.—The composition before us is more pleasing, though the effect is little better. An equestrian figure, at best, is an awkward subject. The legs of a horse are great incumbrances in grouping. VANDYKE, indeed, has managed king CHARLES the First, on horseback, with great judgment: and Ru-BENS too, at Hampton-court, has made a noble picture of the duke of ALVA; though his horse is ill drawn.—In the print before us the figure fits with grace and dignity; but the horse is no Bucephalus: his character is only that

that of a managed pad. The bush, growing by the duke's truncheon, is a trifling circumstance; and helps to break, into more parts, a composition already too much broken.—The execution is throughout excellent; and though the parts are rather too small for mezzotinto, yet SMITH has given them all their force.

PETHER'S MEZZOTINTO OF REMBRANDT'S

The character is that of a stern, haughty man, big with the idea of his own importance, The rabbi is probably fictitious; but the charader was certainly taken from nature: There is great dignity in it; which in a work of REMBRANDT's is the more extraordinary.— The full expression of it is given us in the print. The unelastic heaviness of age, which is so well described in the original, is as well preserved in the copy. The three equidistant lights on the head, on the ornament, and on the hands, are disagreeable: in the print they could not be removed; but it might have been judicious to have kept down the two latter a little more.—With regard to the execution, every part is scraped with the utmost softness. and delicacy. The muscles are round and plump; and the infertions of them, which in an old face are very apparent, are well expressed.

pressed. Such a variety of middle tints, and melting lights, were difficult to manage; and yet they are managed with great tenderness. The looseness of the beard is masterly. The hands are exactly those of a fat old man. The stern eyes are full of life; and the nose and mouth are admirably touched. The separation of the lips in some parts, and the adhesion of them in others, are characteristic strokes; land happily preferved. The folds and lightness of the turban are very elegant. The robe, about the shoulder, is unintelligible, and ill managed: but this was the painter's fault. In a word, when we examine this very beautiful merzotinto, we must acknowledge, that no engraving can equal it in foliness, and delicacy. is so well distilled in the cold in the west the Single South in Co realityed in the con-La car financia de tra believed the head, Committee and the chief to the comnot be a fighter of and progressing the Miner was the correct mode that and or manifely and the state of the state of the state of LOUIS TO FOR LOUIS WITH THE MELLON ALLE SA Late more real regions Lieuwa in ages out the investors of them of the iners the sac group or write said tall ma

. Hondius's hunted wolf.

The composition, in this little print, is good; and yet there is too much similitude, in the direction of the bodies of the several animals. The group also is too much broken, and wants folidity. The horizon is taken too high: unless the dimensions of the print had been higher. The rifing ground, above the wolf's head, had been offskip enough: and yet the rock, which rifes higher, is so beautifully touched; that it would be a pity to remove it.—The light is distributed without any judgment. It might have been improved, if all the interflices among the legs, and heads of the animals, had been kept down; and the shadow made very strong under the fawn, and the wounded dog. This would have given a bold relief to the figures: and might, without any other alteration, have produced a good effect.—The drawing is not faultless. The legs and body of the wounded dog are inaccurate: nor does the attacking dog ftand

stand firm upon his right leg.-With regard to expression, HONDIUS has exerted his full force. The expression, both of the wounded dog, and of the wolf, is admirable: but the expression of the attacking dog is a most bold and masterly copy from nature. His attitude shews every nerve convulsed; and his head is a masterpiece of animal fury.—We should add, that the flaughtered animal is so ill characterized, that we fcarce know what it is.—The execution is 'equal to the expression. It is neat, and highly finished; but discovers in every touch the spirit of a master. Language to the state of the second si di shidadi yililar with the and the second of the work of the W. W. all for all than glad was to distributed him. 2 to be administrated to the process of the safety in real tea plantin of the real of a second The colory of the bound would be a boung associated, under the lawe, as the count of done purpa sali et lod . I'v revin ou I flagra and might, a place of or containing box produced a grain of the our ignistra fightlis. The eg and be yet armaderd dog are inaccurate; nor does the artisling dog تنقفلا

THE FIFTH PLATE OF DU JARDIN'S ANIMALS.

The design, though humble, is beautiful. The two dogs reposing at noon, after the labour of the morning, the implements of fowling, the fictitious hedge, and the loop-holes through it, all correspond; and agreeably tell the little history of the day.—The composition also is good: though it might have been better, if another dog, or fomething equivalent, had been introduced in the vacancy at the left corner. This would have given the group of dogs a The nets, and fowling-pieces better form. are judiciously added; and make an agreeable shape with the dogs. The hedge also adds another pyramidal form; which would have been more pleasing if the left corner of the reeds had been a little higher.—The light is well distributed; only there is too much of it. The farther dog might have been taken down a little;

a little; and the hinder parts of the nearer.

The drawing and expression are pure nature; and the execution elegant and masterly.

The model of the company of the control of the cont

WATERLO'S TORIAS.

The landscape I mean, is an upright near twelve inches, by ten. On the near ground Rands an oak, which forms a diagonal through the print. The fecond distance is composed of a rifing ground, connected with a rock, which is covered with flirubs. The oak, and the shrubs make a vista, through which appears an extensive view into the country. The figures, which confift of an angel, Tobias, and a dog, are defeending a hill, which forms the second distance. The print, with this description, cannot be mistaken.—The composition is very pleasing. The trees, on the foreground, spreading over the top of the print, and floping to a point at the bottom, give the beautiful form of an inverted pyramid; which, in trees especially, has often a fine effect. To this form the inclined plane, on which the figures stand, and which is beautifully broken, is a good contrast. The rock approaches to a L 2 perperpendicular, and the distance to an horizontal line. All together make such a combination of beautiful and contrasting lines, that the whole is pleafing. If I should find fault with any thing, it is the regularity of the rocks. There is no variety in parallels; and it had been very easy to have broken them.—The keeping is well preserved. The second and third distances are both judiciously managed. light is well disposed. To prevent heaviness, it is introduced upon the tree, both at the top and at the bottom; but it is properly kept down. A mass of shade succeeds over the second distance; and the water. The light breaks, in a blaze, on the bottom of the rock, and masses the whole. The trees, shrubs, and upper part of the rock are happily thrown into a middle tint. Perhaps the effect of the distant country might have been better, if the light had been kept down; leaving only one easy catching light upon the town, and the rifing ground on which it stands.—The execution is exceedingly beautiful. No artist had a happier manner of expressing trees than WATERLO; and the tree before us is one of his capital works. shape of it we have already criticized. bole bole and ramification are as beautiful as the shape. The foliage is a masterpiece. Such a union of strength, and lightness is rarely found. The extremities are touched with great tendermess; the strong masses of light are relieved with shadows equally strong; and yet ease, and softness are preserved. The foreground is highly enriched; and indeed the whole print, and every part of it, is full of art, and full of nature.

The property of the property of the careful of the

THE PELICE AT A CHYPRONN BY ROMAN AND A COLUMN AND A COLU

blie programme in a series and the solution of This is an historical landscape, a style were different from that of the last. WATERLO had nothing in view, but to form an agreeable The figures, which he introduced, unconnected with his subject, serve only to embellish it. But LE HOOGHE was confined within narrower lines. He had a country to describe, and a story to tell. The country is the environs of Coeverden, a Dutch town, with a view of an immense bank, thrown up against the sea. The story, is the ruin of that bank; which was broken through in three p'aces, by the violence of a storm. The subject was great and difficult; and yet the artist has acquitted himself in a masterly manner. The town of Coeverden fills the distant view. The country is spread with a deluge; the sky with a tempest; and the breaches in the bank appear in all their horror.—The composi-T. B. B. B. $E : \mathcal{X}$ tion.

oighized by Google

tion, in the distant, and middle parts, is an pleasing as such an examine subject con bes An elevated horizon, which is always display fing, was necessary here to give a distinct wient of the whole.—The light too is thrown over the distant parts in good masses.—The expresfion of the figures, of the horses especially, is very strong: those, which the driver is turning, to avoid the horrid chasm before him, are impressed with the wildest character of terror: and, indeed, the whole scene of distress, and the horrible confusion in every part of it, are admirably described.—The execution is good, though not equal to that of many of LE. HOOGHE's works. It may be added, that the shape of the print is bad. A little more length would have enlarged the idea; and the town would have stood better, not quite in the middle.—But what is most faulty, is the disproportion, and littleness of the foreground on the right. The spirit, which the artist had maintained through the whole description, feems here to flag. Whereas bere he should have closed the whole with some noble confusion; which would have set off the distant parts, and ftruck the spectator with the strongest images of horror. Instead of this. L 4 we

we are presented with a few pigs, and calves floundering in the water. The thought seems borrowed from Ovid. In the midst of a world in ruine, Nat lupus inter oves.

great a contract to the hope in to the first the party of the analysis of I Bursoftle grant Little A E. the second of the second blacks the reserve to a problem of well bloom mi --- -- stabilita Prince of the property of 5 San I was the first of the and the second of the second of na ca suce i cultural fili apareta si je i ki al-LARGE COLORS AND SINE AND SERVICE the man of was to we show ga get and until the fall of a recently thought in a to how at the agent for the LA

.. HOGARTH'S RAKE'S PROGRESS.

. The first print of this capital work is an excellent representation of a young heir, taking possession of a miler's effects. The passion of avariee, which hoards every thing, without distinction, what is and what is not valuable, is admirably described.—The composition, though not: excellent, is not unpleasing. The principal group, confifting of the young gentleman, the taylor, the appraiser, the papers, and chest, is well shaped: but the eye is hurt with the disagreeable regularity of three heads nearly in a line, and at equal distances. The light is not ill disposed. It falls on the principal figures: but the effect might have been improved. If the extreme parts of the mass (the white apron on one side, and the memorandum-book on the other) had been is shade, the repose had been less injured. The detached parts of a group should rarely gatch a fireng body of light.—We have no **ftriking** .a. 5 1.00

striking instances of expression in this print. The principal figure is unmeaning. There are feveral modes of expression, very suitable to the character, under which he is represented. might have entertained himself with an old wig, or some other object of his father's attention-or he might have been grinning over a bag of money more as he is intraduced difmissing a girl he had debauched, he might have seturned the old woodan's threatening multiincer. The only figure, which displays the rare vis carrica of Hogarth, is the appraises flagerwas sin bin ileand the rappe asset in blog and gain racter.—The youngs woman mighto have furnished the artist with abcoppidituminy, qui pressure ing a graceful figure; ; which prouid have been more pleasings afThe figuroulie descimitoduced, is by no managent soid of the canama wall a The perspectives in annuates buttaffected a Sei many windows, and perindders, tomayi fligh the author's learning albusthey breald the best been impraide visifque adterujui hae, heung math (the white apion on one fide, and the means advan-book on the cuest but been The fecond printing roduces our bebellings all the diffination of modelholisq. belies because actived strangerbodynish in interpretable baying eighteen. firlking

He is now of age; has entirely thrown off the clownish school-boy; and asfumes, the man of fashion. Instead of the country taylor, who took measure of him for his father's mourning, he is now attended by Franch-harbers, French-taylors, posts, s.milliners, jockies, bullies, and the whole reginue of a fine gentleman. The expression, in this print, is wonderfully great. The dayatless front of the bully; the keen eye, and glasticity of the fencing master; and the simpering importance of the dancing-matter are admirably expressed. The last is perhaps rather a little outzé. The architect is a strong sppy from pature. The composition from to be nantigely subscription the expression. It appears as if HOGARTH had Retched, in his memorandum-book, all the characters, which he has here introduced, hun was at alloss how ap group, them: and chose grathereto introduce them in detached figures, as he had therehed them, than to lose any part of the expression by combining them.—The light is ill dutributed It is spread indiscriminately over the print, and deftroys the whole .- The axecution is good ... It is elaborate but free The latine on operas, though it may be well dire led, is forced and unnatural. The Ser Asi

The third plate earries us still deeper into We meet our hero engaged in the history. one of his evening amusements. This print, on the whole, is no very extraordinary effort of genius.—The defign is good; and may be a very exact description of the humours of a brothel.—The composition too is not amissi But we have few of those masterly strokes which distinguish the works of HOGARTH. The whole is plain history. The lady fetting the world on fire, is the best thought: and there is some humour in furnishing the room with a fet of Cælars; and not placing them in order.—The light is ill managed. a few alterations, which are obvious, particularly by throwing the lady dreffing, into the Thade, the disposition of it might have been tolerable. But fill we should have had an absurdity to answer, whence comes it? Here Is light in abundance; but no visible source. Expression we have very little through the whole print. That of the principal figure is the best. The ladies have all the air of their profession; but no variety of character. HOGARTH'S women are, in general, very inferior

inferior to his men. For which reason I prefer the rake's progress to the barlot's. The female sace indeed has seldom strength of feature enough to admit the strong markings of expression.

Very disagreeable accidents often befal gentlemen of pleasure. An event of this kind is recorded in the fourth print; which is now before us. Our hero going, in full dress, to pay his compliments at court, on St. David's day, was accosted in the rude manner which is here represented.—The composition is good. The form of the group, made up of the figures in action, the chair, and the lamp-lighter, is pleasing. Only, here we have an opportunity of remarking, that a group is disgusting when the extremities of it are heavy. A group in some respect should resemble a tree. heavier part of the foliage (the cup, as the landscape-painter calls it) is always near the middle: the outfide branches, which are relieved by the sky, are light and airy. inattention to this rule has given a heaviness to the group before us. The two bailiffs, the woman, and the chairman, are all huddled together :: 4

together in that part of the group, which Mould have been the lightest; while the middle parts where the hand holds the door, wants firength and confiftence. It may be added too, that the four heads, in the form of a diamond. make an unpleasing shape. All regular figures should be studiously avoided. The light had been well distributed, if the bailiff holding the arrest, and the chairman, had been a little lighter, and the woman darker. The glars of the white apron is disagreeable. - We have, in this print, some beautiful inflances of expression. The surprize and terror of the poor gentleman is apparent in every limb, as far as is consistent with the fear of discompoling his dress. The insolence of power in one of the bailiffs, and the unfeeling heart, which can jest with misery, in the other, are Arongly marked. The felf-importance too of the Welshman is not ill portrayed; who is chiefly introduced to fettle the chronology of the story. - In point of grace, we have nothing firking. Hosarth might have introduced a degree of it in the fensals figure; at least he might have contrived to vary the heavy and unpleasing form of her drapery.-The perspective is good, and makes

an agreeable shape.—I cannot leave this print without remarking the fulling band-box. Such copresentations of quick motion are absurd; and every moment, the absurdity grows strong to. Objects of this kind are beyond the power of representation.

Difficulties crowd fo fast upon our hero, that at the age of twenty-five, which he feems to have attained in the fifth plate, we find him driven to the necessity of marrying a woman, whom he detelts, for her fortune. The contposition here is good; and yet we have a difagreeable regularity in the climax of the three figures, the maid, the bride, and the bridegroom. The light is not ill distributed. The principal figure too is graveful; and there is firong empression in the seeming tranquillity of his features. He hides his contempt of the object bafore him as well as he can; and yet he cannot do it. She too has as much meaning as can appear through the deformity of her features. The clergyman's face we are welf acquainted with, and also his wig; though we cannot pretend to fay, where we have feen either. The clerk too is an admirable fellow.

A.

-The

The perspective is well understood; but the church is too small; and the wooden post, which seems to have no use, divides the picture disagreeably.—The creed lost, the commandments broken, and the poor's-box obstructed by a cobweb, are all excellent strokes of humour.

The fortune, which our adventurer has just received, enables him to make one push more at the gaming table. He is exhibited, in the fixth print, venting curses on his folly for havving lost his last stake. —This is on the whole, perhaps, the best print of the set. The horrid scene it describes, was never more inimi-The composition is artful, and tably drawn. If the shape of the whole be not quite pleafing, the figures are fo well grouped, and with fo much ease and variety, that you cannot take offence.—In point of light, it is There is not shade enough more culpable. among the figures to balance the glare. If the neck-cloth, and weepers of the gentleman in mourning had been removed, and his hands thrown into shade, even that alone would have improved the effect. - The expression, in almost

almost every figure, is admirable; and the whole is a strong representation of the human mind in a storm. Three stages of that species of madness, which attends gaming, are here described. On the first shock, all is inward difmay. The ruined gamester is represented leaning against a wall, with his arms across, lost in an agony of horror. Perhaps never paffion was described with so much force. In a fhort time this horrible gloom burfts into a form of fury; he tears in pieces what comes next him; and kneeling down, imprecates curses on himself. He next attacks others, every one in his turn whom he imagines to have been inftrumental in his ruin. The eager joy of the winning gamesters, the attention of the usurer, the vehemence of the watchman, and the profound revery of the highwayman, are all admirably marked. There is great coolness too expressed in the little we see of the fat gentleman at the end of the table. The figure opposing the mad-man is bad: it has a drunken appearance; and drunkenness is not the vice of a gaming table.—The principal figure is ill drawn. The perspective is sformal; and the execution but indifferent in simulationing his expression HOGARTH, has lost hie spirit. . it The . M

The feventh plate, which gives us the view of a jail, has very little in it. Many of the circumstances, which may well be supposed to increase the misery of a confined debtor, are well contrived; but the fruitful genius of Ho-BARTH, I should think, might have treated the fubject in a more copious manner. episode of the fainting woman might have given way to many circumstances more proper to the occasion. This is the same woman, whom the rake discards in the first print; by whom he is rescued in the fourth; who is present at his marriage; who follows him into jail; and, lastly, to Bedlam. The thought is rather unnatural, and the moral certainly culpable.—The composition is bad. The group of the woman fainting, is a round heavy mais; and the other group is ill shaped. The light rould not be worse managed; and, as the groups are contrived, could hardly be improved.-In the principal figure there is great expression; and the fainting spene is well described. A scheme to pay off the national debt, by a man who cannot pay his own; and the attempt of a filly rake, to retrieve his affairs

fairs by a work of genius, are admirable strokes of humour.

The eighth plate brings the fortunes of the rake to a conclusion. It is a very expressive representation of the most horrid scone which human nature can exhibit. The composition is not bad. The group, in which the lunatic is chained, is well managed; and if it had been carried a little farther towards the middle of the picture, and the two women (who feem very oddly introduced) had been removed, both the composition, and the distribution of light had been good.—The drawing of the principal figure is a more accurate piece of anatomy than we commonly find in the works of this master. The expression of the figure is rather unmeaning; and very inferior to the strong characters of all the other lunatics. The fertile genius of the artist has introduced as many of the causes of madness, as he could well have collected; but there is some tauto-There are two religionists, and two Yet there is variety in each; astronomers. and strong expression in all the characters. felf-fatisfaction, and conviction, of him who M 2 has

has discovered the longitude; the mock majesty of the monarch; the moody melancholy of the lover; and the superstitious horror of the popish devotée, are all admirable.—The perspective is simple and proper.

I should add, that these remarks are made upon the first edition of this work. When the plates were much worn, they were altered in many parts. They have gained by the alterations, in point of design; but have lost in point of expression.

CHAP. V.

CAUTIONS IN COLLECTING PRINTS.

THE collector of prints may be first cause tioned against indulging a desire of becoming possessed of all the works of any master. There are no masters whose works in the gross deserve notice. No man is equal to himself in all his compositions. I have known a collector of Rembrandt ready to give any price for two or three prints which he wanted to complete his collection; though it had been to Rembrandt's credit, if those prints had been suppressed. There is no doubt, but if one third of the works of this master should be tried by the rules of just criticism, they would M 3

appear of little value. The great prince Eugene, it is faid, was a collector of this kind; and piqued himself upon having in his possession, all the works of all the masters. His collection was bulky, and cost fourscore thousand pounds; but when sisted, could not, at that time of day, be worth so many hundreds.

The collector of prints may fecondly be cautioned against a superstitious veneration for names. A true judge leaves the master out of the question, and examines only the work. But, with a little genius, nothing sways like a name. It carries a wonderful force; covers glaring faults, and creates imaginary beauties. That species of criticism is certainly just, which examines the different manners of different masters, with a view to discover in how many ways a good effect may be produced, and which produces the best. But to be curious In finding out a master, in order there to rest the judgment, is a kind of criticism very palary, and illiberal. It is judging of the work by the matter, instead of judging of the master by the work. Hence it is, that fach vile prints as the 15

the Woman in the cauldron, and Mount Parnaffit. obtain credit among connoisseurs. If you ask wherein their beauty confists? you are informed, they are engraved by MARK ANTONIO & and if that do not fatisfy you, you are farther affured, they are after RAPHAEL. This abfurd taste raised an honest indignation in that ingenious artist PICART; who having shown the world, by his excellent imitations, how ridiculous it is to pay a blind veneration to names; tells us, that he had compared some of the engravings of the ancient masters with the original pictures; and found them very bad copies. He speaks of the stiffness, which in general runs through them-of the hair of ebildren, which resembles pot-hooks-and of the ignorance of those engravers in anatomy. drawing, and the distribution of light,

Nearly allied to this folly, is that of making the public take our standard. It is a most uncertain criterion. Fashion prevails in every thing. While it is confined to dress, or the idle ceremonies of a visit, the affair is trivial; but when fashion becomes a dictator in arts, M 4

the matter is more serious. Yet so it is; we: feldom permit ourselves to judge of beauty by the rules of art: but follow the catch-word of fallion; and applaud, and censure from the voice of others. Hence it happens, that fometimes the works of one master, and sometimes. of another, have the prevailing run. REM-BRANDT has long been the fathionable mafter. Little distinction is made: if the prints are REMBRANDT's, they must be good. In two or three years, perhaps, the date of REM-BRANDT may be over: you may buy his works at eafy rates; and the public will have acquired some other favourite. For the trath of these observations, I might appeal to the dealers in old prints; all of whom knowithe uncertain value of the commodity they vend: Hence it is, that fuch noble productions, as the works of P. TESTA, are in such little esteem, that the whole collection of this master, though it consists of near twenty capital prints, befide many small ones; may be bought for less than is sometimes given for a single print of REMBRANDT. The true connoisseur leaves the voice of fashion entirely out of the question: he has a better standard of beauty-the merit of

of each mafter, which he will find frequently at variance with common opinion.

A fourth caution, which may be of use incollecting prints, is, not to rate their value by their scarceness will make a valuable print more valuable: but to make scarceness the standard of a print's value, is to mistake an accident for merit. This folly is founded in vanity; and arises from a desire of possessing what nobody else can possess. The want of real merit is made up by imaginary; and the object is intended to be kept, not looked at Yet, abfurd as this falle italte is, nothing is more common; and a trifling genius mayo be found, who will give ten guineas for HOLLAR's fivelle, which, valued according to their merit (and much merit they certainly have), are not worth more than twice as many shillings. Inftances in abundance might be collected of the prevalence of this folly. LE CLERC, in his print of Alexander's triumph, had given a profile of that prince. The print was thewn to the duke of Orleans; who was pleafed with it on the whole, but justly enough objected to يد رايج the

 t_{ij}

the fide-face. The obsequious artist erased it. and engraved a full one. A few impressions had been taken from the plate in its first state: which fell among the curious for ten times the price of the impressions taken after the face was altered. CALLOT, once pleafed with a little plate of his own etching, made a hole in it; through which he drew a ribbon, and wore it at his button. The impressions after the hole was made, are very fcarce, and amazingly valuable.-In a print of the holy family, from VANDYKE, St. John was reprofested laying his hand upon the virgin's shoulder. Before the print was published, the artist shewed it among his critical friends, some of whom thought the action of St. John too familiar. The painter was convinced, and removed the hand. But he was mistaken, when he thought he added value to his print by the The few impressions, which got alteration. abread, with the hand upon the shoulder, would buy up all the rest, three times over, in any auction in London.-Many of Rem-BRANDT's prints receive infinite value from little accidental alterations of this kind. A few impressions were taken from one plate, before a dog

a dog was introduced; from another, before a white-horse tail was turned into a black one: from a third, before a fign-post was inserted at an ale-house door: and all the scarce prints from these plates, though altered for the better, are the prints of value: the rest are common and cheap.—I shall conclude these instances with a flory of a late celebrated collector of pictures. He was shewing his collection with great fatisfaction; and after expatiating on many noble works by Guido, Marratti, and other masters, he turned suddenly to the gentleman, whom he attended, and, "Now, Sir, said he, I'll shew you a real curiosity: there is a WOVERMAN, without a horse in it."-The eircumftance, it is true, was uncommon; but was unluckily that very circumstance, which made the picture of little value.

Let the collector of prints be cautioned, fifthly, to beware of buying copies for originals. Most of the works of the capital masters have been copied; and many of them so well, that if a person be not versed in prints, he may easily be deceived. Were the copies really as good

30

good as the originals, the name would figuify nothing: but, like translations, they necessarily fall short of the spirit of the original: and contract a stiffness from the fear of erring. feen apart, they look well; but when compared with the originals, the difference easily. appears. Thus CALLOT's beggars have been fo well copied, that the difference between the originals and the copies would not immediately strike you; but when you compare them, it is obvious. There is a plain want of freedom; the characters are less strongly marked; and the extremities ware less accurately touched. It is a difficult matter to give rules to affift in diffinguishing the copy from the original. In most cases the engraver's name, or his mark (which should be well known), will be a sufficient direction. These the copyist is feldom hardy enough to forge. But in anonymous prints it is matter of more difficulty. All that can be done, is to attend carefully to the freedom of the manner, in the extremities especially, in which the copyist is more liable to When you are pretty well acquainted fail. with the manner of a master, you cannot well be deceived. When you are not, your best way is to be directed by those who are.

The

The last caution I shall give the collector of prints, is, to take care he purchase not bad impressions.—There are three things which make an impression bad.—The first is, its being ill taken off. Some prints seem to have received the force of the roller at intervals. The impression is double; and gives that glimmering appearance, which illudes the eye. -A fecond thing, which makes an impression bad, is a worn plate. There is great difference between the first and the last impression of the same plate. The effect is wholly lost in a faint impression; and you have nothing left but a vapid design without spirit, and without force. In mezzotinto especially a strong impression For the spirit of a mezzotinto is desirable. quickly evaporates; without which it is the most insipid of all prints. In engraving and etching there will be always here and there a dark touch, which long preserves an appearance of spirit: but mezzotinto is a flat surface: and when it begins to wear, it wears all over. Very many of the works of all the great masters, which are commonly hawked about at auctions, or fold in shops, are in this wretched state.

It is difficult to meet with a good impression. The Salvators, Rembrandts, and WATERLOS, which we meet with now, except here and there, in some choice collection, are seldom better than mere reverses. You see the form of the print; but the elegant, and masterly touches are gone; backgrounds and foregrounds are jumbled together by the confusion of all distance; and you have rather the shadow of a print left, than the print itself. -The last thing which makes a bad impression, is retouching a worn plate. Sometimes this is performed by the master himself; and then the spirit of the impression may be still preserved. But most commonly the retouching part is done by some bungler, into whose hands the place has fallen; and then it is very bad. In a worn plate, at least what you have is good: you have the remains of fomething excellent; and if you are versed in the works of the master, your imagination may be agreeably exercised in making out what is loft. But when the plate has gone through the hands of a bungler, who has worked it over with his harsh scratches, the idea of the master is lost; and you have nothing left, but strong, unmeaning lines on a faint ground; which is a most disagreeable contrast. prints,

prints, and many such there are, though offered us under the name of REMBRANDT, or WATERLO, are of little value. Those masters would not have owned such works.—Yet, as we are often obliged to take up with such impressions, as we can get; it is better to chuse a faint impression, than a retouched one.

THE END.

INDEX.

<i>y</i> e			
APPENDAGES, what	-		Page 4
Ananias; cartoon of,	criticized		9, 10
Aqua-fortis, its manne	r of biting	the cop	per 32
Aldgrave —			45
Andreani, Andrea	-	-	49
Antonio, Mark		-	50
Augustin of Venice	. —		50
Anthony, St. temptation	n of	*****	55
Austin, St. a motto fro	m him		72
Alexander, triumph of	: by Le	Clerc	79
Auden Aerd -		-	 8o
Augurs, by Goupy			118
Alva, duke of: by R	ubens	*	139
Bassan criticized			
		·	4, 103
Beautiful gate; cartoon		cizea	7, 27
Baptism of John: by	Atmuer	-11-	46
Bloemart, Abraham	-	46, I	04, 127
Barrochi, Frederic			51
Beggars, Callot's	•		55
Bartholomew, St. by S	paniolet	-	63
Bella, Stephen de la	-		64, 98
Bolfwert -	· Proces		66, 107
. N	ī		Rible

(178)

Bible, bisto	ryof: by L	uiken, 67. By	y Sadler, P	age 10
Bega, Cor		***		7.
Bellange		P1/200		74
Baur, Wil	lia m	-	***********	70
Bartoli, I	Peter			79
Bas, Le	•			81
Bischop	***************************************			81
Becket				86
Baptiste's	head, by T	White		87
-	-	his head by	Morin	89
		head by H		91
Berghem			-	93
Bloteling			<u>:</u>	100
Barlow	-			100
Bears devo	uring a de	er: by Ridi	nger	102
Boars, a pr	rint of: by	Ridinger		102
Both				116
Contrast: i	ts effect	-		7
Claude	-			26
Circumcision	, by Gol	zius	- :	46
_	•	Hampton (Court	47
Carracci, A	_	-		53
Cantarini	_	-		54
Callot				54
Chifwick: a	picture the	re of Salvator	r's, criticize	
		y Villamena		64
Castiglione	-			6 9
			0	brift.

Christ, life of: by Parroc	elle —	Page 74
Goypel —		77
Gaylus, Count -		78
Glerc, Le -		79
Gromwell, Elizabeth: her	head by Smith	
Gollier, Mrs.: her portra	•	91
Сиур —	-	99
Charles I. by Vandyke		139
Coeverden, deluge of: by	R. le Hooghe	150
Covies, cautioned against	—	171
Design defined, and illust	rated —	. 2
Disposition defined, and il		- 5
Drawing defined, and ill		- 15
Distant magnitude express		_
ing than in a print	_	26
Durer, Albert -	Continues	43
Dorigny, Michael		65
Dorigny, Nicholas		83
Dyke, Van -		85
Drevet — -		90
Dankerts —		94
Diana hunting: by Goup	—	118
Expression explained, and	illustrated	16
Execution explained, and		21
Engraving confidered		32, &c.
N 2		Etching

(180)

Etching confide	red —	Page	32, &c.
Elshamer, Adam	}	, -	55
Egypt, flight int	o: by Count	Gaude	56
Ertinger			66
Ecce Homo: by	Covpel, 77.	By Van T	
Esop: by Barlo	w —		•
Eugene, prince:		n of prints	99 165
0 1,1		. or billite	103
Flemish school:	its character	_	48
Fair: Callot's			
Fage, La			54 5-
Febre, V. le			65
Freii, Jac.			74
Faber			80
Fry.		-	91
	-	-	92.
Fyt, J.		-	98
Flamen	•	-	ioi
Fables: by Rid	inger	trijes	102
<i>Crace</i> defined, a	ind illustrated	-	16
Ground in mezz			•
Goltzius			38
Guido			45
Gaude, count			53
Galestruzzi		-	55
_	****		71
Gillot, Claude	H i- :		75.
Gribelin, Sim.	•	مأمل	80
			Gibbon:

Gibbon: his h	lead by Smith		Page 88
Genoel			116
Goupy	-		118
Group: the fo	orm of one eritic	ized	6
• <u>.</u>			. ` .
	ainting illustrate	d	. 11
Hell-scene: by	A. Durer	****	43
Hisben			45
Hundred-guild			60
Hooghe, Rom			67
Hooper: his l	head by White		87
Houbraken	-		91
Hamden: his	head by Houbr	aken	91
Hondius, 95.	His hunted we	olf -	- 95
Hollar, 104.	His works	105,	106, 107
Huntings: by	Rubens, 96.	By Riding	ger 102
Hagar: by		` `	118
• • •	. His rake's pro	gress critic	ized, 125
Journeyings,	patriarchal: by	C. Maces	: б9
Impostures in	nocentes: by Pica	art —	- 77
Joseph in Eg	rypt: by Bischop	,	- 81
Fardin, Du,	95. One of his etc	hings critic	cized, 149
	print of, by Van		170
Impressions	-	-	172
Keeping defi	ned, and illustrat	ed -	— 16
		· 	Lystra
	3		س ، در رس

(182)

Lystra, sacrifice	at, cartoon o	f, criticized,	Page 8, 17
Light, distribu	tion of, critic	cized -	_ 22
Lucas Van Lei	den	-	45
Lot: by Aldg	rave		45
Lazarus: by			47
Luiken	-	****	67
Lairesse, Gera	rd	-	68
Lanfrank: his			79
Lievens, J.			84
Lely, Peter		-	86
Leigh, Anthon	y: his head	by Smith	88
Lutma, J.	·	-	·89, 111
Laer, Peter de			99
Lorraine, Clas		سنسب	113
Latrones: by			118
Michael Ange	lo: his idea o	of form in g	rouping 9
Mannerist: w			
Mezzotinto co			36
Muller		-	, 46
Mantegna, An	drea	-	48
Miseries of Wa			54 54
Moyse, Vocation		Face	— 5 4
Macee	by 11a	. Tage	
Muilen, Vande			. 69
Mellan		Paring	70
AATCIIM18	:		72

Marot — — I	Page 82
Magdalene, Mary: her head by Smith	88
Mellan —	88
Morin, J. — —	89
Marmion, Edm. —	89
Moyreau	97
Montague, duke of —	110
Neulant — —	108
Names: their influence —	168
Ostade — —	72
Ovid: illustrated by W. Baur -	76
Overbeck — —	115
Oxford-beads: by Hogarth	124
Paul preaching at Athens, the cartoon of	cri-
ticized — —	5, 7
Perspective defined, and illustrated	23
Polished bodies expressed better in a pictu	ire
than in a print —	29
Pewter: engraving on —	36
Pens	45
Parmigiano — —	48
Palma	49
Paria, Francis	49
Picart: his character of M. Antonio	54
, <u> </u>	Done

(. 184)

Pont Neuf: by de la Bella	Page 64
Pontius —	66
Parrocelle, Joseph -	73
Picart	77
Pond, Arthur	78
Perrier, Francis — —	82
Parr's head by White —	87
Piazetta – –	88
Pope, Mr. his head by Richardson	90
Potter, Paul — —	100
Poussin, Gasper -	104
Perelle — —	113
Porsenna: by Goupy —	118
Piranesi	118
Prentice, idle: by Hogarth -	. 121
Physicians-arms: by Hogarth	Į 24
Playhouse, corner of	125
Polycrates, death of: by Salvator Rosa	131
Pether . his print of a Jewish rabbe	141
Parnassus, Mount: by M. Antonio	166
Rupert, prince: character of his mezzionim	os 37
Roman-school: its character	47
	56, 131
Robbers, Salvator Rosa's -	58
Rembrandt — 58, 79, 100, 10	165
	66
Regettiers, Fr.	83
	Rivand

(185)

Rigaud			Page 90
Richardson			90
Richmond, duke of	f: his head	by Houbra	
Reubens			96
Rofa of Tivoli			97
Ridinger	<u></u>	· ·	101
Rousseau, James	-		110
Ricci, Marco			116
Rake's progress	evennik	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	125
Salutation: by Ba	rrochi	ونسمه	51
Spaniolet -			63, 124
Silenus and Bacchi	us: by Spar	iolet	63
Sciaminossi	maket.	بمستخد	66
Schut, Cornelius		-	76
Simons .			86
Sturges: his head	by White		. 87
Smith			87
Scalken: his head	by Smith	•	88
Salisbury, countess	of: her hea	d by Smit	h gr
Schomberg: his h			91
_	by Smit	h —	139
Stoop, Peter		-	99
Sadler		-	103
Sunderland, earl o	of	*****	108
Swanevelt	l	-	109
Sylvestre, Israel	income	-	112
Silenus, triumph o	f: by Peter	Testa	134
Scarceness, no test			169
-	Ο.		Titian .

Titian: his illust	ration of	massing ligh	t, Page 14
Transparency exp			
than in a			28
Tempesta, Antho	- ny	-	52, 98
Testa, Peter		through the same of the same o	60, 134
Tiepolo	· —	-	70
Tulden, Van	-		73
Truth delivered l	by Time fro	m Envy: by	Poussin, 78
Tobit: by Goup) y		118
	•		
Virgil: a passage	e of his cr	iticiz e d	27
Vafari: his opin			- 44
Vouet, Simon			63
Villamena	منسف	-	64
Venius, Otho	-		71
Ulysses, voyage of	f: by Tu	lden -	– 73
Vesper, by Parro	-		74
Uliet, Van		-	84
Vertue			90
Visscher, J.	-	-	94, 97
Veau, Le	-		117
Vandiest		-	118
Whole in painti	ng; how	constituted	• • •
Watteau	-		75
Worlidge	****	-	85
्र, ज	11		White,
			•

(187)

White, the eng	gra ver		Page 86
White, the me	ezzotinto-scrape		. 86
Wing: his hea	ad by White	****	87
Wyke: a mezz	zotinto from his	n b <mark>y Sm</mark> ith	88
Wolfang			89
Woverman, 14	12. Story of		171
Wolves-beads :	by Ridinger		102
Waggon: a pi	rint from Ruber	ns —	108
Waterlo, 108.	His Tobias		147
Woman in the	cauldren: by N	1. Antonio	166
Zuingg	dereite.	-	117
Zeeman	-	-	117

A CATALOGUE of Mr. GILPIN'S WORKS, fold by Messrs. Cadell and Davies, in the Strand.

- An Exposition of the New Testament; intended as an Introduction to the Study of the Holy Scriptures, by pointing out the leading Sense and Connexion of the sacred Writers. Third Edition, 8vo. 2 vols. price 12s.
- LECTURES on the CATECHISM of the CHURCH of ENGLAND. Fifth Edition, 12mo. price 3s. 6d.
- MORAL CONTRASTS; or the Power of Religion exemplified under different Characters. Second Edition, price 3s. 6d.
- LIVES of Several REFORMERS; of different Editions, and Prices: The whole together, 12s. 6d.
- PICTURESQUE REMARKS on the RIVER WYE. Fourth Edition, price 17s.
- moreland. Third Edition, 2 vols. price 11. 11s. 6d.
- cond Edition, price 11. 16s.
- price 11. 16s.
- 11. 58.
- THREE Essays—On Picturesque Beauty—On Picturesque Travel

 and The Art of sketching Landscape. Second Edition,
 price 10s. 6d.

Printed by A. Strahan, Printers-Street, London,